INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
SAITO, Yoshie	22551
Direct by Mr. Roberts	22551
(Witness excused)	22555
MORNING RECESS	22573
HASHIMOTO, Gun (recalled)	22575
Direct by Mr. Furness	22576
Cross by Colonel Smirnov	22602
NOON RECESS	22605
Cross by Colonel Smirnov (cont'd)	22606
AFTERNOON RECESS	22630
Cross by Colonel Smirnov (cont'd)	22638

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.		Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
1538	2620		Certificate as Telegrams dispatched by the Kwantung Army, Korean Army and Fifth Area Army concerning the entry of the USSR into War with Japan were burnt at the time of the termination of the		22574
1478	2621		Affidavit of HASHIMOTO,		22576
974	2622		Affidavit of HASHIMOTO,		22579
	2623	766-A	Map showing frontier line of the Khalkhin-Gol River	Э	22636
	2623-A	767-A	Ditto		22637
	2623-B	767-B	Ditto		22637

Tuesday, 20 May 1947

1 2

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

Appearances:

For the Tribunal, same as before with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE BERNARD, Member from the Republic of France and HONORABLE JUSTICE ROLING, Member from the Netherlands, now sitting.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

M or see & Seelzer

MARSHALL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I continue to read from defense document 1314, page 4. (Reading:)

"PAPER NO. 2

"In the draft of a pact of consultation and assistance between Japan, Italy and Germany which is now in negotiation a new article in the following form would be inserted before the concluding Article IV.

"The German Government and the Italian Government declare in agreement with the Japanese Government that the pact of friendship and silence between Germany and Italy, signed on the 22nd May 1939, which resulted from the neighborhood of those both countries and from their special position in Europe, will not be affected by the present pact, and that therefore the present pact shall be applied to the relation between Germany and Italy only insofar as the pact of the 22nd May 1939 contains no broader obligations.

"PAPER NO. 3

"NOTE

"The Japanese Government will explain after

conclusion of the pact between Germany, Italy and Japan now under negotiation of eventual diplomatic inquiries about the pact from a third side verbally in the following sense:

"1. The pact is a purely defensive pact.

It pursues no aggressive purpose, but has the aim of securing the maintenance of peace. The pact is therefore not directed against any country.

"2. Historically the pact originated from the pact that the three contracting powers in the recent years have come together for the common defense against the disintegrating activities of the Comintern. In the present international situation Japan feels on her part threatened above all by the efforts of the Communist International. The Japanese Government has, therefore, viewed this effort of Communism which originated from Soviet-Russia as the acutest danger to peace.

"3. Should one of the participating towers in this pact be attacked unprovokedly, the consequences resulting therefrom for this power are evident from the text of the pact. So long as the third powers do not threaten or attack the contracting powers, the obligations provided in the pact for support and for rendering help and assistance will not

become effective.

"PAPER NO. 4

Yosnie.

Excellency to take note that Japan can carry out the obligations, accepted in Article III of the pact, to render help and assistance in a military respect at the present and in the immediate future only to a restricted extent. The details as to the military assistance to be rendered from time to time in the future, shall be reserved to the discussions provided in the secret accessory protocol."

At this time I call on Mr. Roberts who will introduce the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: "e cell the witness, SAITO,

Y SHIE SAITO, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT IXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBERTS:

Q Please tell us your name and address.

A My name is SAITO, Yoskie. My address is 42 Shoto, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo.

MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be shown defense document No. 1133.

(Whereupon, the document was handed to the witness.)

Q Please examine this document and tell us whether or not it is your sworn affidavit.

A It is my affidavit without mistake.

MR. ROBERTS: I offer it in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to this affidavit in its entirety. I trust it has been in the hands of the Tribunal for a sufficient length of time to enable its Members to familiarize themselves with it. It is in part a lecture or address, and in part an argument in which the deponent attempts to sustain certain allegations

2

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

17

18

20

19

21

23

24

22,552

SAITO

DIRLCT

by a recital of numerous observations, opinions and conclusions. Certainly it is not evidence that conforms to any of the rules announced by the Tribunal.

The prosecution submits that testimony of this character has no greater dignity than any other journalistic efforts which repeatedly have been rejected. It is an outstanding example of prolixity which the Tribunal has criticized on many occasions. It is an argument improper to be made at this stage of the proceedings, and much of it deals with irrelevant matters.

If these observations and a casual examination of the affidavit are not sufficient to warrant rejection in its entiraty, I am prepared to discuss it chapter by chapter.

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear what the other side has.

Personal observations of this witness, who spent more than twenty years in China in diplomatic and consular posts and has written many books on the fundamental causes in China of the conflict between China and Japan, is fundamental and basic information which the Tribunal can obtain from no other source.

THE PRISIDENT: We are not at liberty to allow him to form our opinions for us.

3

5 6

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

MR. ROBERTS: He wants to give you the facts which were in existence at the time certain acts were taken so you may form your opinion as to whether or not those acts were justified.

THE PRESIDENT: He goes further and gives judgment. To-wit: "It is an extreme slander to say, judging from these matters, that Japan refused to give other powers equal opportunities in commerce and industry." That is at page 28 of the proposed exhibit.

MR. ROBERTS: Such statements I am willing not to read. As a matter of fact, I have marked them to be omitted, and parhaps we can agree, that is, the prosecution and defense, as to such statements being omitted. "e submit, however, that the major part of this affidavit is the basic, underlying reasons for the action taken.

MR. TAVENNER: The prosecution cannot agree that the failure to read a few isolated sentences affects the admissibility of the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: A Member of the Tribunal wants to know what facts it is intended that this witness shall prove and on what issues they bear.

IR. ROBERTS: It bears upon the issue of whether or not the acts as contained in the Indictment were aggressive acts or whether the acts were in self-

1

3

5

7

8

10

9

11

13

15 16

17

18

20

23

24

25

which existed in China during the years complained of and why it was necessary that the acts had to be taken, as will be explained later by the individual defendants.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you think the prosecution and defense could meet with a view to limiting the affidavit to statements that are relevant and material?

MR. ROBERTS: It may be that there are only a certain number of passages which we might be able to agree upon at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: If it is finally rejected it involves a great waste of material and labor.

IR. ROBIRTS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: But we cannot admit what is incomissible in order to avoid waste.

What have you to say, Mr. Tavenner?

MR. TAVENNYR: If the Tribunal please, in view of the questions that have been asked by the Court and the replies by counsel, I would like to give a brief summary of what I consider these chapters are.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, do you think the document could be edited so as to confine it to what is statements of fact?

MR. TAVENNER: I don't think the document could be re-edited. I think it would have to be

1 redrested in its entirety. 2 THE PRESIDENT: And reprocessed? 3 MR. TAVENNER : Yes, sir. 4 MR. ROBIRTS: The prosecutor stated in his 5 opening remarks that he had objections to certain portions of the affidavit and that he wanted to give us the specific objections. I would like to hear the specific objections that the prosecutor referred to in 9 his opening remarks. I have offered to delete certain 10 portions and perhaps the other portions that he refers 11 to may be the same and we can delete them immediately. 12 THE PRISIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds 13 the objection and rejects the document. If it contains 14 any relevant and material facts, they can be put in a 15 short affidavit and tendered later. 16 MR. ROBERTS: Under those conditions may we 17 respectfully ask that the witness stand down? 18 THE PRESIDENT: Yes, the witness is stood down. 19 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 20 21 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham. 22 MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Tribunal please, I 23

24

e report of a talk --

MR. COMYNS CARR: Just a minute.

now offer in evidence defense document 1398 which is

MR. CUNNINGHAM: "ell, I will finish my introduction. -- which is a report of a talk between Navy Minister YONAI and "ang Ching-wei, which covers the relations of the Wang Government with the Japanese to combat communism in China and prevent its spread in Asia.

MR. COMYNS CARR: This document is already in evidence, your Honor. It is exhibit 2586, put in by the defense themselves as recently as the 15th of May. I do not understand why it should be tendered again.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, it involves a great waste of material and time. One can understand affidavits tendered months ago being overlooked but not those tendered in the last week or so.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Whether it has been offered or not, my only object in offering it is to suggest the first paragraph and that it emphasizes the particular point which we have been pressing in this presentation.

THE PRESIDENT: You may read any part or refer to any part of an exhibit already admitted. What do you propose to do, Mr. Cunningham? Just refer to it?

19.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I just propose to refer to that first part which relates to the question of communism and the situation in China at the time. I don't propose to read it, but I do think that it would be much easier to offer it in this manner than to refer back to the previous document and ask the Court to refer back to the record on this particular proposition.

THE PRESIDENT: We will refer back to the exhibit already in evidence.

The objection to the document just tendered is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Next I refer to the evidence which shows Japan terminated, after August 1939, the negotiations for a treaty between Japan, Germany and Italy. I draw the attention of the Tribunal to the exhibits No. 486-L and 782.

Exhibit 486-L is a German telegram sent by German Ambassador, Ott, in Tokyo, to Berlin, in which the Japanese Minister for Foreign Affairs is mentioned and stated to the German ambassador that "The Japanese Government had interpreted the conclusion of the Non-Aggression Pact as finally terminating the present negotiations between Japan, Germany and Italy." Transcript page 6122.

22 23

Exhibit 782, which I refer to you now, is a note dated 26 August 1939, sent by the Japanese Embassy in Berlin to German Foreign Office, and this is a document captured in German Foreign Office by Allied Forces. It is shown in this document that the Japanese Embassy in Berlin had filed, under the direction of the home government, a note with the German Foreign Office protesting against the conclusion of the German-Soviet Non-Aggression Pact.

Transcript page 7912.

I now call the Court's attention to the prosecution exhibit No. 550, Foreign Minister MATSUOKA's explanation on the Imperial Conference regarding the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact of September 1940, in which MATSUOKA stated that "the fundamental principle of the recent negotiations with Germany is entirely different from that of HIRANUMA Cabinet days." Pertaining evidence will also be produced in the later phases to further substantiate this allegation.

THE PRESIDENT: Whereabouts in exhibit 550 did MATSUOKA say that, Mr. Cunningham? Unless you indicate the page we will have to read the whole of it to find it, and it comprises five pages of single-spaced typing.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Page 5, paragraph C, under

3 4

heading "Conclusion."

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Now, your Honors, I have a question of policy to be followed in the future on the introduction of excerpts from books and records which have already been used in evidence here and the question of processing.

I refer especially to the Japan Yearbook
for 1941 and '42, and I'd like to have a direction from
the Tribunal as to whether or not it is necessary to
make 150 copies of an excerpt which is to be read
from a book of this nature, which involves considerable
time and wasted effort, in my submission. Books such
as Foreign Affairs and Japan Yearbook and other
periodicals perhaps should be put in a separate class
to avoid this unnecessary effort.

THE PRESIDENT: That would be so if the Japan Yearbook and other such publications were readily available to all persons who would require to refer to them. They are most difficult to get, actually. If we were sitting in Washington and dealing with the corresponding American publication we certainly would not ask you to process it.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I submit the Japan Yearbook ought to be found in every library in Tokyo, every

B 4

.

good-sized library.

THE PRESIDENT: If you could find unlimited copies in a library under the control of the Allied Powers, we would agree with you.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, of course, my suggestion was only a matter of convenience and not of inconvenience. I was thinking of submitting the document into the clerk's office and anyone who wished could read the document, the book, there, and it wouldn't be necessary to have over twenty-five copies made for distribution among the Court and the prosecution who are interested and the few defense counsel who are interested. It would save all this unnecessary delay and trouble.

THE PRESIDENT: I think you momentarily forgot that you are in Japan, in Tokyo, dealing with the Japan Yearbook. And it is only the excerpt, after all, that has to be processed; and I am not aware how many copies are required.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, your Honor, you should be advised that about 153 copies of each one of these documents has to be processed before we can offer it into evidenc: as an excerpt, and it is ridiculous.

TH" PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

Mr. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, I submit

1 2

B 4

5

7

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

23

B

1

2

4 5

6 7

8 9

11

10

13

14

12

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

that it is riciculous taking up the time of the Tribunal with arguing a matter of this kind which could so easily be disposed of in chambers.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not agree with you, Mr. Tavenner. This is an important matter.

But I want Mr. Cunningham to tell us how these excerpts differ from excerpts from other books. He cannot, because the Japan Yearbook is not readily available, and we are not going to throw ourselves on the mercy of Japanese people for the use of their libraries to get the yearbook. The defense, of course, are at liberty to do that. They are defending the accused, who are Japanese nationals.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Vell, if your Honor please, we have to process this 153 times not only in English but in Japanese, and every Japanese can read his own language and I can't possibly see how it is necessary or important to make 153 copies of a simple excerpt from a book, to process, in order to get a document introduced into this Tribunal. I think it is as ridiculous as anything we could possibly conceive of as a matter of logical procedure. I really do.

THE PRESIDENT: If you thought hard enough and long enough, you would not think it ridiculous. No doubt the greater part of the 153 copies are required for the defense themselves.

If the prosecution is satisfied to have one copy, we will raise no objection; but we must have eleven copies; we, the Judges.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, we process about thirty for the defense, maybe forty; twenty -- or I don't know, ten or twenty go to the press section with two or three members sitting there; the prosecution has about fifty members or forty and I don't know how many documents go to the prosecution section; they have four or five counsel who are interested in the proposition. They go to the several countries involved, who probably do not need as many as we send. It is just a foolish distribution. That is just the whole sum and substance of it.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been the practice in Germany -- it is the practice here -- to provide the press with copies of these things, and that requires a large number of copies. If the press like to forego copies they may. But it is the purpose of the Supreme Commander and, indeed, of the Allied Powers that this trial, the evidence in this trial should get the widest publicity, and it is getting it. And the publicity extends to the evidence in Japanese Year Books as well as to other evidence. And, as a colleague says, it is necessary that all the accused and their Japanese counsel should have copies in Japanese of everything tendered.

You see the need, Mr. Tavenner, for dealing with this thing publicly. Otherwise, these casual outbursts remaining unanswered might get undue publicity throughout the world and be taken seriously. How ready, say, an American citizen mig' be to confound the American Year Book with the Japanese Year Book.

I had a great deal of difficulty in getting the Japanese Year Book. I had to return it after I had used it a few days.

MR. TAVENNER: May I make one observation?

THE PRESIDENT: Certainly.

MR. TAVENNER: I do not think it is so much a

1 2

3

5

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22 23

24

matter of concern as to the time and material used in the making of copies of excerpts that are to be introduced as it is to guard carefully the type of excerpt that is being processed. For instance, as of May 15th 238 documents have been admitted during the defense phase as exhibits, 233 rejected, 234 have been either withdrawn or abandoned as a result of rulings.

THE PRESIDENT: To attack the Court for waste is probably a good form of defense of waste already committed.

MR. TAVENNER: So I wanted merely to emphasize the point. It is not so much the question of difficulty of producing -- reproducing exhibits that are admitted as it is not to present those which under the rulings of the Court have been excluded time and again.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, your Honor, what originally started out to be a suggestion to simplify a matter of procedure has turned out to be a major incident.

But I suggest that at Nuernberg it was only the responsibility of defense counsel to submit their documents for processing to the court machinery, and that is all the responsibility they had. Now, we have a little greater one than that.

I suggest that the mimeographed copies of

the documents to be presented be filed with the original document, and upon acceptance as an exhibit in the case, that it then be reproduced for distribution.

I suggest that twenty-five or thirty original copies be made for distribution with the Court and so on so that the matter can be determined in due course. And then, after it is accepted as a matter of the record, that it be sent out for distribution. But all that excess work should not be involved before the document is actually made a matter of the record.

As a matter of publicity, I suggest that in this atomic age this case has gone to the back page of the newspapers so long ago that it is forgotten.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, I have an alternative suggestion to make, and that is that documents of this character be presented to the prosecution before processing, and where there is not an agreement as to the admissibility, that the Tribunal rule on the admissibility--

THE PRESIDENT: The Charter contemplates something of the kind.

MR. TAVENNER: (Continuing) Which I am informed is the procedure that was followed in Nuernberg. But we do not desire to accept blandly any document that

counsel may suddenly desire to read from the lectern.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, that suggestion would save you a lot of trouble, Mr. Cunningham. I think it is somewhat in line with your own.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: No. I have a few reactions to that.

I suggest that that very definitely smacks of prejudging the case and prejudging the evidence before hearing in the Tribunal. It places upon us the responsibility of determining the probative value and admissibility of evidence before it is actually presented and heard in the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: The Court would determine the preliminary questions; the whole Court, the whole eleven of us.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, that is trying the case twice. I am trying to avoid that. I only want to try it once. That is why I am suggesting this.

THE PRESIDENT: This was done in Germany, and our Charter provides for it in Article 13: "The Tribunal may require to be informed of the nature of any evidence before it is offered in order to rule upon the relevance." Before it is offered.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I suggest that that is only in case the Tribunal is called upon to provide through.

9.

subpoena or other search for the evidence. But that does not apply to evidence voluntarily offered to the Tribunal through the initiative of the defense.

THE PRESIDENT: That is not so.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: That is my understanding.

THE PRESIDENT: I have no means of limiting your understanding of anything. But I would like to know whether you represent all the defense.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I could say definitely no to that. As far as I know, Dr. UZAWA is the only one who could speak for all of the defense.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we have heard enough this morning. If you care to continue the matter in chambers, I shall deal with it there. But I can make no final decision. The whole Court itself must decide these matters.

attention of the Tribunal to defense document 1046, the statement of the Imperial Japanese Government which sets forth the basis upon which Japan and China could combine peaceful activities to prevent the disturbances caused by communistic activities. This document corroborates and fortifies the previous document and I merely refer to it and ask that it be considered in this light for whatever it is worth without reading it.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, the prosecution objects to the Court's being burdened with documents of this character which carry the matter no further, merely a repetition, not a self-serving official statement by the Imperial Japanese Government of things that have been said over and over again.

THE PRESIDENT: Rightly or wrongly, I understand Mr. Cunningham to be referring to something which is already in evidence.

MR. COMYNS CARR: No, your Honor, this particular one is not. I gathered that my friend was under that impression but, if so, he is mistaken. Document 1046 as far as we can trace has not been exhibited.

MR. CUNNING 'AM: I was not under that

impression; I was not mistaken. I just didn't want to read the document. I want to offer it for what it is worth for the perusal of the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: If you are referring to a document not already in evidence you must tender it.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I now make formal tender of the document for whatever it is worth.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you copies of it?

The Clerk of the Court has no copies for the Judges.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: To avoid the confusion we will just go on to document 206-E (07) and withdraw the offer of the other document. It doesn't seem to be in the hands of the Clerk yet.

I now offer defense document 206-E (97).

This document emphasizes the necessity for the cooperative defense between Japan and China for the purpose of preventing communistic activities which threatened the security of both countries and interfered with the maintenance of public order in China.

This is a record of a conversation between Ambassador Grew and Foreign Minister TOYODA on the 22 of September 1941. On Grew's statement, see pages 2254, 2255 of the record, exhibit 191, document 219: "An observer who had told me that in Manchuria Japan was creating a situation," and so on. Now, if that document which

was a prosecution document states a fact and is worthy of acceptance into evidence, then this is a real valuable document.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please your Honor, this document has nothing whatever to do with the phase with which we are now dealing. It relates to the negotiations between the United States and Japan in the Pacific phase.

THE PRESIDENT: It has no bearing on Russia?

MR. COMYNS CARR: None whatever, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: It mentions -- no, it

does not mention Russia.

MR. COMYNS CARR: It is an excerpt from Mr. Grew's diary quoting a short summary of the terms which Japan was prepared to offer to China in September 1941. The official report of those terms is already in evidence, that is to say, the actual document communicated to the United States Government by Mr. Grew and nothing in our submission is gained by putting in another document in which he unofficially and informally records a summary of the same thing.

4 5

the bottom of page 3, "Cooperation between Japan and China for the purpose of preventing Communistic and other subversive activities which may constitute a menace to the security of both countries and of maintaining the public order in China."

Now, that has a direct bearing, and that is the theory upon which the evidence in this phase of the case is based, that the activities of the communists in China operated as a direct threat and menace to the national security of Japan and was just cause -- and this is even in retrospect -- just cause for the enti-Comintern Pact. I only wish to read the bottom of page 3.

THE PRESIDENT: The part you want to read is already in evidence, so we understand.

Due to the fact of delay in translation and delay in processing some of the documents, it will be necessary for me to submit them in the Tri-Partite Pact phase of the Pacific phase or at the end of this Russian phase. Some of the documents have been delayed in process.

THE PRESIDENT: I take it you withdraw this document, Mr. Cunningham.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

MR. CUMNINGHAM: I have your Honor, in this phase. I have another witness who was supposed to be here tomorrow. And now, in concluding the subdivision of this anti-Comintern Pact and Russian phase, with the exception and reservation of the witness and documents which have not yet been processed, and the witness who has been stood down and whose document will be redrafted to eliminate undesirable features, I would like now to reserve the right to present other witnesses and evidence with respect to the negotiations between Japan, Gormany, and Italy during 1938 and 1939, and the difference in the nature of the abortive negotiations and the Tri-Partite Pact which were concluded on the 27th of September, 1940, and evidence on these matters will be presented in the Pacific and individual phases as well.

May I ask that the Court take its recess now so that Mr. Furness can assemble his documents and be ready to go right after recess.

THE PRESIDENT: I repeat: I understand you are withdrawing defense document 206-E-97.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I withdraw it as long as you suggested it, the part that has been read.

THE PRESIDENT: I make no conditions. I

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have your Honor, in this phase. I have another witness who was supposed to be here tomorrow. And now, in concluding the subdivision of this anti-Comintern Pact and Russian phase, with the exception and reservation of the witness and documents which have not yet been processed, and the witness who has been stood down and whose document will be redrafted to eliminate undesirable features, I would like now to reserve the right to present other witnesses and evidence with respect to the negotiations between Japan, Gormany, and Italy during 1938 and 1939, and the difference in the nature of the abortive negotiations and the Tri-Partite Pact which were concluded on the 27th of September, 1940, and evidence on these matters will be presented in the Pacific and individual phases as well.

May I ask that the Court take its recess now so that Mr. Furness can assemble his documents and be ready to go right after recess.

THE PRESIDENT: I repeat: I understand you are withdrawing defense document 206-E-97.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I withdraw it as long as you suggested it, the part that has been read.

THE PRESIDENT: I make no conditions. I

cannot. IR. CUNNINGHAM: I withdraw the condition. THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1044, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

G r 6 е n b е r g & L е f 0 10

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

17

18-

19

20

21

22

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, I would like to vary from my order of proof in order that the Language Section may make a pre-translation of my running commentary, so that the interpretation may be quick and cause no delay.

I would like, therefore, to call the first witness, whose affidavit has been duly served and who can testify to it. The prosecution tells me that they have no objection. The witness' name is HASHIMOTO, Gun.

I first offer in evidence defense document 1538 which will qualify certain documents referred to by the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1538 will receive exhibit No. 2620.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2620 and received in evidence.)

23

24

Greenberg & Leftler

14:

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, I would like to vary from my order of proof in order that the Language Section may make a pre-translation of my running commentary, so that the interpretation may be quick and cause no delay.

I would like, therefore, to call the first witness, whose affidavit has been duly served and who can testify to it. The prosecution tells me that they have no objection. The witness' name is HASHIMOTO, Gun.

I first offer in evidence defense document 1538 which will qualify certain documents referred to by the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1538

will receive exhibit No. 2620.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2620 and received in evidence.)

Greenberg & Lefler

14:

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, I would like to vary from my order of proof in order that the Language Section may make a pre-translation of my running commentary, so that the interpretation may be quick and cause no delay.

I would like, therefore, to call the first witness, whose affidavit has been duly served and who can testify to it. The prosecution tells me that they have no objection. The witness' name is HASHIMOTO, Gun.

I first offer in evidence defense document 1538 which will qualify certain documents referred to by the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1538

will receive exhibit No. 2620.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2620 and received in evidence.)

2

3

7

8

0

10

11

15

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

GUN HASHIMOTO, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, having previously been sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

MR. FURNESS: I will read exhibit No. 2620: "CERTIFICATE

"I hereby certify that the intelligence telegrams dispatched by the Kwantung Army, Korean Army and Fifth Area Army concerning the entry of U.S.S.R. into war with Japan were burnt at the time of the termination of the War and are therefore not in the custody of the First Demobilization Bureau.

"On this 28th day of April 1947

Signed by "Chief, Archives Section, First Demobilization Office."

I now ask that the witness be sworn.

THE PRESIDENT: He has already been sworn, I understand.

MR. FURNESS: I ask that the witness be shown defense document 1478.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

24

23

DIFECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. FURNESS:

Q Is that your affidavit?

A Yes, it is.

Are the facts stated therein true?

A They are true.

MR. FURNESS: I offer in evidence defense document 1478.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1478

will receive exhibit No. 2621.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2621 and received in evidence.)

MR. FURNESS: I will read exhibit No. 2621, omitting the formal parts:

"1. I, HASHIMOTO, Gun, in addition to my deposition (Def. Doc. #974), state as follows:

"2. The 'Outline of the settlement of the Nomonhan Border Incident,' which was made on 31 May, 1939, (Showa 14), and which I mentioned in the 14th item of my deposition, was planned and drafted by a drafting committee of which I was a member, studied by the Army Ministry and the General Staff Office, and put into effect with the approval of our chief.

,

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

Art and

24

	DIFECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. F	UF NESS:
Q	Is that your affidavit?
A	Yes, it is.
Ç	Are the facts stated therein true?
A	They are true.
	MR. FURNESS: I offer in evidence defense
document	1478.
	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms
	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1478
will rece	eive exhibit No. 2621.
	(Whereupon, the document above
refe	erred to was marked defense exhibit
No.	2621 and received in evidence.)
	MR. FURNESS: I will read exhibit No. 2621,
omitting	the formal parts:
	"1. I, HASHIMOTO, Gun, in addition to my
denositio	on (Def. Doc. #974), state as follows:
	"2. The 'Outline of the settlement of the
	Border Incident, which was made on 31 May,
	owa 14), and which I mentioned in the 14th
	y deposition, was planned and drafted by a

drafting committee of which I was a member, studied

by the Army Ministry and the General Staff Office,

and put into effect with the approval of our chief.

1 The document was kept in the General Staff Office 2 then. I was told, however, the document together with other documents was burned when the War ended, and does not exist now. However, as the matter was very important, I still remember gist of it. "3. The order from the Imperial Headquarters, which Mr. NAKAJIMA, Assistant Chief of the General Staff, took with him to Hsin King on Aug. 30, 1939, (the 14th year of Showa), and which I stated in the 15th item" -- should be the 16th item -- "of my deposition, read as follows: 'The operations in the Nomonhan area shall not be ex-13 panded but shall be stopped as soon as possible. 14 The commander-in-chief of the Kwantung Army should 15 keep up the front in that area with minimum forces. ! 16 This was the Imperial order, of which I then took 17 part in the drafting. It was approved by our chief 18 and later obtained Imperial sanction through the 19 Chief of the General Staff. The document was kept 20 in the General Staff Office. However, I hear, it 21 also was at the end of the War with the other 22 documents and does not exist now. However, as it 23 was an important order and its contents were comparatively simple, I still remember its content. "4. The order from the Imperial Head-

quarters, which NAKAJIMA, Assistant Chief of the General Staff, took with him to Hsinking on September 3, 1939, (Showa 14), and which I mentioned in the 15th item" -- should be the 16th item --"of my deposition, was as follows, 'The Imperial 6 Headquarters desires an independent settlement of the Nomonhan border incident. The commander-inchief of the Kwantung Army should stop offensive operations in the Nomonhan Area.! This was the Imperial order, of which I took part in the drafting at that time, which was approved by our chief and which later obtained Imperial sanction through 13 the chief of the General Staff. The document was kept in the General Staff Office. However, I hear, it was burned at the end of the War and does not exist now. However, as it was a most important 17 order and its contents were comparatively simple, I remember its purport well even now." 19 MR. FURNESS: In the third paragraph, if the Court please, I believe in the Japanese copy, the next to the last sentence reads, "It also was burned at the end of the war with the other documents and does not exist now." I ask that that be checked by the Language Section. 25

THE PRESIDENT: We will refer it to the

20

21

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quarters, which NAKAJIMA, Assistant Chief of the General Staff, took with him to Hsinking on September 3, 1939, (Showa 14), and which I mentioned in the 15th item" -- should be the 16th item --"of my deposition, was as follows, 'The Imperial 6 Headquarters desires an independent settlement of the Nomonhan border incident. The commander-inchief of the Kwantung Army should stop offensive operations in the Nomonhan Area.! This was the Imperial order, of which I took part in the drafting at that time, which was approved by our chief and which later obtained Imperial sanction through the chief of the General Staff. The document was kept in the General Staff Office. However, I hear, it was burned at the end of the War and does not exist now. However, as it was a most important order and its contents were comparatively simple, I remember its purport well even now." MR. FURNESS: In the third paragraph, if the Court please, I believe in the Japanese copy, the next to the last sentence reads, "It also was burned at the end of the war with the other documents and does not exist now." I ask that that be checked by the Language Section.

THE PRESIDENT: We will refer it to the

quarters, which NAKAJIMA, Assistant Chief of the General Staff, took with him to Hsinking on September 3, 1939, (Showa 14), and which I mention-4 ed in the 15th item" -- should be the 16th item --"of my deposition, was as follows, 'The Imperial 6 Headquarters desires an independent settlement of the Nomonhan border incident. The commander-inchief of the Kwantung Army should stop offensive operations in the Nomonhan Area.! This was the Imperial order, of which I took part in the drafting at that time, which was approved by our chief and which later obtained Imperial sanction through the chief of the General Staff. The document was kept in the General Staff Office. However, I hear, it was burned at the end of the War and does not exist now. However, as it was a most important order and its contents were comparatively simple, I remember its purport well even now." MR. FURNESS: In the third paragraph, if the Court please, I believe in the Japanese copy, the next to the last sentence reads, "It also was burned at the end of the war with the other documents and does not exist now." I ask that that be checked by the Language Section.

THE PRESIDENT: We will refer it to the

19 20

18

14

15

21

22

23

quarters, which NAKAJIMA, Assistant Chief of the General Staff, took with him to Hsinking on September 3, 1939, (Showa 14), and which I mentioned in the 15th item" -- should be the 16th item --5 "of my deposition, was as follows, 'The Imperial 6 Headquarters desires an independent settlement of the Nomonhan border incident. The commander-inchief of the Kwantung Army should stop offensive operations in the Nomonhan Area.! This was the Imperial order, of which I took part in the drafting at that time, which was approved by our chief and which later obtained Imperial sanction through the chief of the General Staff. The document was 14 kept in the General Staff Office. However, I hear, 15 it was burned at the end of the War and does not exist now. However, as it was a most important order and its contents were comparatively simple, 18 I remember its purport well even now." 19 MR. FURNESS: In the third paragraph, if 20 the Court please, I believe in the Japanese copy, 21 the next to the last sentence reads, "It also was 22 burned at the end of the war with the other documents 23 and does not exist now." I ask that that be checked 24 by the Language Section. 25

THE PRESIDENT: We will refer it to the

	Language Section; don't worry about it for the
	present.
	MR. FURNESS: I ask that the witness be
	shown the original of defense document 974.
	(Whereupon, a document was
	handed to the witness.)
	BY MR. FURNESS (Continued):
	Q Colonel HASHIMOTO, is that your affidavit,
	signed and sworn to on the 5th day of January, 1947?
	A As you say.
	Q Are the facts stated therein true?
	A Yes, they are true.
	MR. FURNESS: I offer in evidence defense
	document 974. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
5	
5	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 974
7	will receive defense exhibit No. 2622.
8	(Whereupon, the document above re-
9	ferred to was marked defense exhibit No.
0	2622 and received in evidence.)
1	
2	
23	

1	Language Section; don't worry about it for the
1	present.
2	MR. FURNESS: I ask that the witness be
3	shown the original of defense document 974.
4	(Whereupon, a document was
5	handed to the witness.)
6	BY MR. FURNESS (Continued):
7	Q Colonel HASHIMOTO, is that your affidavit,
8	signed and sworn to on the 5th day of January, 1947?
9	A As you say.
10	Q Are the facts stated therein true?
11	
12	A Yes, they are true. MR. FURNESS: I offer in evidence defense
13	
14	document 974.
15	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
16	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 974
17	will receive defense exhibit No. 2622.
18	(Whereupon, the document above re-
19	ferred to was marked defense exhibit No.
20	2622 and received in evidence.)
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Language Section; don't worry about it for the
	present.
	MR. FURNESS: I ask that the witness be
	shown the original of defense document 974.
	(Whereupon, a document was
	handed to the witness.)
	BY MR. FURNESS (Continued):
	Q Colonel HASHIMOTO, is that your affidavit,
	signed and sworn to on the 5th day of January, 1947?
	A As you say.
	Q Are the facts stated therein true?
	A Yes, they are true.
	MR. FURNESS: I offer in evidence defense
	document 974. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms
	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 974
	will receive defense exhibit No. 2622.
	(Whereupon, the document above re-
	ferred to was marked defense exhibit No.
	2622 and received in evidence.)
-	Contract to tempor the Contract of the temporal and the
-	
1	

IR. FURNESS: I will read from that exhibit:
"1. I, HASHIMOTO, Gun, am an ex-lieutenant
general living at No. 45%, Kitazawa 4 Chome, Setagaya 'ard, Tokyo Metropolis.

Japanese Garrison in China from August, the 11th Year of Showa (1936) to the end of August, the 12th Year of Showa (1937) and experienced the outbreak of the China Incident. At the end of August, when the North China Area Army was organized, I was transferred to the post of Chief Staff-Officer of the First Army. While I was engaged in operations in the district along the Peking-Hankow Railway in North China, I was moved to the post of Chief of the First Section, General Staff (as Major General) on January 12, the 13th Year of Showa, and arrived in Tokyo on January 18. My predecessor in office was Major General SHIMOMURA, Sadamu.

"2. My assumption of the new post happened to correspond generally to the time when the Japanese Government issued the so-called 'Do not take the National Government seriously' announcement on January 16 as a result of the failure of Sino-Japanese peace negotiations through the mediation of German Ambassador Trautmann to reach a conclusion.

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

"As to the strategy, it was a period of quiet after Taiyuan, Shichiachuan and Tsinan in North China and Nanking and Pengfu in Middle China were captured. The national government was at Hankow.

"3. Around the time when I assumed the new post the Supreme Command authorities were studying future plans of operation in all directions. Actually, the China Incident itself broke out contrary to our country's intentions and its execution thenceforth showed gradual expansion of the area concerned, against our wish. As early a settlement of the Incident as possible, however, was the consistent desire of the authorities in the Supreme Command. But the hope of peace negotiations was dimmed as a result of the Government's announcement made on January 16, 1936" -- should be 1938 -- "and Japan was forced to entrench herself for a long term war. Thus, special effort was necessary to strengthen the national power, namely, the amplification of production, the reinforcement of armament, the renovation of the domestic front, etc."

THE PRESIDENT: General Zaryanov has not been provided with a copy of this. I should have said Mr. Justice Bernard.

MR. FURNESS: I shall continue reading.

"As to the strategy, it was a period of quiet after Taiyuan, Shichiachuan and Tsinan in North China and Nanking and Pengfu in Middle China were captured. The national government was at Hankow.

"3. Around the time when I assumed the new post the Supreme Command authorities were studying future plans of operation in all directions. Actually, the China Incident itself broke out contrary to our country's intentions and its execution thenceforth showed gradual expansion of the area concerned, against our wish. As early a settlement of the Incident as possible, however, was the consistent desire of the authorities in the Supreme Command. But the hope of peace negotiations was dimmed as a result of the Government's announcement made on January 16, 1936" -- should be 1938 -- "and Japan was forced to entrench herself for a long term war. Thus, special effort was necessary to strengthen the national power, namely, the amplification of production, the reinforcement of armament, the renovation of the domestic front, etc."

THE PRESIDENT: General Zaryanov has not been provided with a copy of this. I should have said Mr. Justice Bernard.

MR. FURNESS: I shall continue reading.

1 2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

(Reading): "Alongside with this program for controlling domestic affairs, military operations were also brought into a stage of temporary prolongation. It was the conclusion at that time that positive operations were to be temporarily avoided in order not to extend the battlefront until resolute largescale operations should become feasible in order to bring the Incident to a final conclusion at one blow after having waited for a replenishment of fighting power. Really, at that time, both military power was short as well as munitions, to continue large-scale operations against China. It was impossible, on the other hand, to pour our whole strength into operations against China as we were faced with the necessity of preparing against the menace of Soviet Russia.

"The Military Supreme Command stuck to
the principle of not approving any positive operation
by any Army except the mopping-up operation in Southern
Shanhsi conceived by the First Army at that time and
to confine itself to the preservation of peace on the
spot and maintaining the existing battle line.

"Around that time, there were many among those in the operational area and central circles who were of the opinion that it would be advantageous to reopen the Tientsin-Pukow Railway by connecting the

for controlling domestic affairs, military operations were also brought into a stage of temporary prolongation. It was the conclusion at that time that positive operations were to be temporarily avoided in order not to extend the battlefront until resolute largescale operations should become feasible in order to bring the Incident to a final conclusion at one blow after having waited for a replenishment of fighting power. Really, at that time, both military power was short as well as munitions, to continue large-scale operations against China. It was impossible, on the other hand, to pour our whole strength into oper-

(Reading): "Alongside with this program

"The Military Supreme Command stuck to
the principle of not approving any positive operation
by any Army except the mopping-up operation in Southern
Shanhsi conceived by the First Army at that time and
to confine itself to the preservation of peace on the
spot and maintaining the existing battle line.

ations against China as we were faced with the necessity

of preparing against the menace of Soviet Russia.

"Around that time, there were many among those in the operational area and central circles who were of the opinion that it would be advantageous to reopen the Tientsin-Pukow Railway by connecting the

1 2

.

(Reading): "Alongside with this program for controlling domestic affairs, military operations were also brought into a stage of temporary prolongation. It was the conclusion at that time that positive operations were to be temporarily avoided in order not to extend the battlefront until resolute largescale operations should become feasible in order to bring the Incident to a final conclusion at one blow after having waited for a replenishment of fighting power. Really, at that time, both military power was short as well as munitions, to continue large-scale operations against China. It was impossible, on the other hand, to pour our whole strength into operations against China as we were faced with the necessity of preparing against the menace of Soviet Russia.

"The Military Supreme Command stuck to
the principle of not approving any positive operation
by any Army except the mopping-up operation in Southern
Shanhsi conceived by the First Army at that time and
to confine itself to the preservation of peace on the
spot and maintaining the existing battle line.

"Around that time, there were many among those in the operational area and central circles who were of the opinion that it would be advantageous to reopen the Tientsin-Pukow Railway by connecting the

Central China Detached Army which had advanced from Nanking to Pengfu and the Second Army which had advanced to Tsinan. This opinion was not agreed to by the Military Supreme Command as any expansion of the operational area would be accompanied by the dis-

advantage of requiring large forces for its defense.

"4. This principle of operation, not to extend the operational area, however, necessarily changed by the actual situation within a few months. Namely, each Army was continuously engaged in battle contacting the enemy on the front line of the operational area. As the front was far too wide in comparison with our forces and a fixed line could not be kept to defend and stop the enemy's counterattacks, partisan skirmishes were being continuously repeated causing the front line to lose stability.

"This state was especially conspicuous in front of the Second Army and the enemy's counterattacks were persistent, removing the front line gradually toward the south and causing severe damage to a part of our Army in the battle around Taierhchuan. Thus, the Third Army with lesser forces than three divisions began to fight hard battles.

"Meanwhile, the Chinese Army gradually concentrated large forces along the Lanchow-Haichow Railway

1 2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

11

12

14

16

18

20

19

21 22

23

25

Central China Detached Army which had advanced from Nanking to Pengfu and the Second Army which had advanced to Tsinan. This opinion was not agreed to by the Military Supreme Command as any expansion of the operational area would be accompanied by the disadvantage of requiring large forces for its defense.

"4. This principle of operation, not to extend the operational area, however, necessarily changed by the actual situation within a few months. Namely, each Army was continuously engaged in battle contacting the enemy on the front line of the operational area. As the front was far too wide in comparison with our forces and a fixed line could not be kept to defend and stop the enemy's counterattacks, partisan skirmishes were being continuously repeated causing the front line to lose stability.

"This state was especially conspicuous in front of the Second Army and the enemy's counterattacks were persistent, removing the front line gradually toward the south and causing severe damage to a part of our Army in the battle around Taierhchuan. Thus, the Third Army with lesser forces than three divisions began to fight hard battles.

"Meanwhile, the Chinese Army gradually concentrated large forces along the Lanchow-Haichow Railway Central China Detached Army which had advanced from Nanking to Pengfu and the Second Army which had advanced to Tsinan. This opinion was not agreed to by the Military Supreme Command as any expansion of the operational area would be accompanied by the disadvantage of requiring large forces for its defense.

"4. This principle of operation, not to extend the operational area, however, necessarily changed by the actual situation within a few months. Namely, each Army was continuously engaged in battle contacting the enemy on the front line of the operational area. As the front was far too wide in comparison with our forces and a fixed line could not be kept to defend and stop the enemy's counterattacks, partisan skirmishes were being continuously repeated causing the front line to lose stability.

"This state was especially conspicuous in front of the Second Army and the enemy's counterattacks were persistent, removing the front line gradually toward the south and causing severe damage to a part of our Army in the battle around Taierhchuan. Thus, the Third Army with lesser forces than three divisions began to fight hard battles.

"Meanwhile, the Chinese Army gradually concentrated large forces along the Lanchow-Haichow Railway

1 2

showa (1938) their forces amounted to about 40 divisions around Hsuchow, it being judged that they had gathered the main forces of the Chinese Central Army into this area. The situation was so serious that no further neglect could be allowed. Thereupon, the Military Supreme Command recognized the necessity of making a great effort to reopen communications between the South and North by dealing a heavy blow to the Chinese main force in their presence. Thus, the Battle of Hsuchow was decided upon in spite of the shortage of our forces. At that time, this plan was decided upon between the last part of April and the early part of May 1938.

main forces around Hsuchow from the North and South, about three divisions of the Central China Detached Army from the South and about five divisions from the North mainly comprising the Second Army of the North China Detached Army reinforced by two divisions of the First Army and two mixed brigades from Manchuria were put into operation.

"In this battle the enemy appeared to have been seized perfectly, but at the last moment, those who escaped through the gap of our enclosure amounted

around Hsuchow and around April, the 13th year of Showa (1938) their forces amounted to about 40 divisions around Hsuchow, it being judged that they had gathered the main forces of the Chinese Central Army into this area. The situation was so serious that no further neglect could be allowed. Thereupon, the Military Supreme Commend recognized the necessity of making a great effort to reopen communications between the South and North by dealing a heavy blow to the Chinese main force in their presence. Thus, the Battle of Hsuchow was decided upon in spite of the shortage of our forces. At that time, this plan was decided upon between the last part of April and the early part of May 1938.

"5. With the aim of squeezing the Chinese main forces around Esuchow from the North and South, about three divisions of the Central China Detached Army from the South and about five divisions from the North mainly comprising the Second Army of the North China Detached Army reinforced by two divisions of the First Army and two mixed brigades from Manchuria were put into operation.

"In this battle the enemy appeared to have been seized perfectly, but at the last moment, those who escaped through the gap of our enclosure amounted

to a considerable number and we could not deliver the final annihilating blow which was at first expected. The causes were to be attributed to the wide and flat nature of the terrain and the insufficiency of our forces used. At that time, we made great effort to use as large forces as possible and to gain a decisive result but what we could gather were, as previously related, only eight divisions in all. In order to gather these forces, it was necessary to make a bold arrangement so that many weak points appeared in many places on the battle line. As a result, during this battle and immediately after it, the large southern part of Shanhsi Province was recaptured by enemy counterattack taking advantage of our weak forces, and our troops were enveloped everywhere.

"6. The Wuchan-Hankow operation was planned after the battle around Hsuchow with the aim of taking a chance of leading to the settlement of the incident by dealing a heavy blow to the Chinese main force which was gathered in the Wuchan-Hankow district and becoming so powerful that, strategically, further neglect could not be allowed. Our plan was for the Second Army, main force, to be pushed forward on a wide front from the northeast to Hankow and for a smaller part to march along the Yangtze River. This plan was forced

11

16.

to undergo fundamental changes resulting in considerable delay in the preparation for the operation by the
fact that the Chinese Army destroyed the bank of the
Yellow River at the last stage of the battle of Hsuchow
and caused the inundation of a wide area resulting in
great difficulty in concentrating and deploying troops,
not to mention the loss of lines of communication.

"At the important period of this preparation, in the middle of July, the Changfufeng Incident suddenly broke out putting the Supreme Command in a state of deep anxiety.

graphic report from the Korean Army to the effect that about forty Soviet troops had crossed the frontier near Changchi on July 11th and had occupied Changfufeng constructing positions. The Supreme Command thought this only a small conflict which was of the nature of those usually occurring on the border line of Soviet Russia and Manchuria, but as the Chosen Army was not used to dealing with such affairs, and besides, as we were fully occupied with the preparation of the Huchang-Wangpei operation, we (the Supreme Command) did not wish the incident to become aggravated, so it was decided to order the Chosen Army to adopt a cautious attitude, and to settle the affair through regular

HASH IMOTO

to undergo fundamental changes resulting in considerable delay in the preparation for the operation by the
fact that the Chinese Army destroyed the bank of the
Yellow River at the last stage of the battle of Hsuchow
and caused the inundation of a wide area resulting in
great difficulty in concentrating and deploying troops,
not to mention the loss of lines of communication.

"At the important period of this preparation, in the middle of July, the Changfufeng Incident suddenly broke out putting the Supreme Command in a state of deep anxiety.

graphic report from the Korean Army to the effect that about forty Soviet troops had crossed the frontier near Changchi on July 11th and had occupied Changfufeng constructing positions. The Supreme Command thought this only a small conflict which was of the nature of those usually occurring on the border line of Soviet Russia and Manchuria, but as the Chosen Army was not used to dealing with such affairs, and besides, as we were fully occupied with the preparation of the Huchang-Wangpei operation, we (the Supreme Command) did not wish the incident to become aggravated, so it was decided to order the Chosen Army to adopt a cautious attitude, and to settle the affair through regular

diplomatic channels. So this policy was telegraphed to the Chosen Army and, at the same time, the War Ministry proposed to the Foreign Office the negotiation of the affair. At that time General NAKAMURA, Kotaro was on 'the eve of his departure for Chosen as the new Commander of the Chosen Army, so he must have been instructed in that sense before his departure by the superior authorities and I myself, when I saw him off on the morning of his departure at Haneda airfield, have talked to him about the same principle again."

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14.

91

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Tolf & Kapleau

1

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

diplomatic negotiations over the Changkufeng Incident were hanging fire, and fearing, in the interval, that the opposing forces may carelessly aggravate the conflict, decided to call back the peacetime units of the Chosen Army to their original station, the unit which had been ordered to move as support to the boundary garrison in the emergency, and decided to watch developments quietly. So orders to this effect were issued on July 26, but on July 29 we received a report to the effect that the Soviet troops had made a sortie to high ground about 1,000 meters south of Shatsaofeng. Our boundary garrison had been obliged to drive them back. Then the Soviet troops again delivered a counter attack against us, crossing the boundary line by 500 meters. now set up in opposition to them. But as the Chosen Army was content to drive the enemy back to the outside of Manchurian territory, it was ordering its units not to extend the affair further. On the part of the Supreme Command, though it approved the measures taken by the units on the spot, it stuck to the principle of absolutely not enlarging the affair beyond its present proportions, and ordered the Chosen Army not to develop military operations

The Supreme Command, seeing that the

19

21

22

24

 as long as the army was not challenged by the Soviet forces, and to assume cautious action in general.

"9. After August 1, the activities of the

Soviet Army became more and more positive: the bombardment by superior artillery forces; bombing by airplanes at various points in Korea, and, at the same time, infantry attack supported by tank units were repeated almost every day. Our front line units repulsed them every time, but never trespassed beyond the boundary, always retiring to the garrison line. Repeating this method our casualties were augmented every day. At this time the Chosen Army proposed to use our air unit stationed in the northern Chosen district to counteract the Soviet offensive, but as the calling out of the air force might lead to the progressive expansion of military activity, the Supreme Command did not concede to the request.

"Taking into consideration the offensive attitude of the Soviet forces, we retained in Manchuria, under the direct command of the Central Authorities, for the time being, about one division, which was on its way to China, in order to provide for a probable emergency.

"The Chosen Army was very faithful to the principle of the Central Authorities and maintained

the boundary line, bearing well the disadvantageous tactics and notwithstanding heavy casualties. By the conclusion of the truce agreement on August 11 the affair was finally settled.

"10. The Wuchang-Hankow Operation plans were changed as above stated, and the main forces were moved along the line of the Yangtze River and it became a difficult operation. This operation aimed at a speedy termination of the Incident. So with the purpose of bringing about the most advantageous result, we recognized the necessity of cutting the main artery of the enemy's line of supply of munitions from abroad -- the Canton-Hankow line, and at the same time we planned the Canton operation. Eventually we occupied Canton on October 21, landing forces at Bias Bay of three divisions. The operation around Fuchan-Hankow was a little retarded, but on October 27, the "uchang and Hankow were occupied, and the National Government fled to Chungking.

"11. "With the close of the WUCHANG-HANKOW operations, fighting assumed a truly protracted phase, so that we resorted to the least possible consumption of fighting power. We held the WUCHANG-HANKOW district and the CANTON district as the only operational

5

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

areas, and stationed in each an army in a rather concentrated form, ready against the enemy's counterattack. The rest of the occupied territories were held as peaceful areas. Over these vast areas we placed our forces in scattered formation 6 and had them attend exclusively to the maintenance of peace and order in these territories. Against Chunking, we pressed on with a blockade and aerial operations, hoping thereby to quicken the termination of the Incident. The KONOE statement of 22 December 1938 was an attempt to bring about peace by following up the results of the WUCHANG-HANKOW operations with regard to the peace movement that followed under "ang Chao-ming, we stood aloof as observers while hoping that it would, as an internal question among the Chinese people, develop into the establishment of a new central government by the cooperation of "ang Chao-ming and Chiang Kai-shek and eventually lead up to the solution of the Incident. Consequently all the subsequent operations, whether large or small in scale, were directed according to the dictates of this great aim and hope. The operations for such places as Swatow, Hainan and Nanning were carried out for blockading purposes, while those for Nanchang, Changsha and Ichang

were conducted in response to the enemy's counterattacks. The minor operations in North China, on the other hand, were necessary for the security of peace in these garrisoned regions.

from the standpoint of tactics, was most unsystematic and haphazard from the very beginning of the China Incident. As it must have become already clear from what I have said so far, our action in China was not based on any premeditated and well-planned program, backed by sufficient fighting power. The reason for our resorting to such haphazard manoeuvering was simple. We did not like to go into hostilities with China and we feared the Russian menace in the north.

"The Russian military force in the Far East which had been increasingly strengthened since the Manchurian Incident was a great menace to Japan, against which our Supreme Command exhausted its wits in thinking out counter-measures. This was the chief cause of the fear entertained by our Supreme Command at the outbreak of the China Incident. In the execution of operations during the progress of the Incident security against Russia was always the most necessary premise, so that the Supreme Command had

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 to direct the Chinese operations always with close 2 observation on the movement of the Far Eastern 3 Russian Army. At the time of the CHANGKUFENG Incident, most of our forces were concentrated on the WUCHANG-HANKOW operations, leaving only six divisions in Manchuria against more than twenty divisions of the Far Eastern Russian forces. This will clearly endorse the strenuous efforts made by the Supreme Command to prevent the expansion of the Incident. Through the WUCHANG-HANKOW operations and the Canton operations, we had gradually to increase our forces in China. After the close of the above operations, our army got ready for a protracted and persistent war and adhered to the policy of preserving as much fighting power as possible. However, with the extension of occupied territories, it was necessary to reinforce our garrison forces, so that there was not a bit of surplus strength left in our army. The following figures show the distribution of our forces from the end of 1938 to the outbreak of the Nomonhan Incident in the following year:

"China 27 divisions "Manchuria 8 "Korea 1

"Fomeland 1 division.

"Te estimated the strength of the Far Fastern Russian force at that time to consist of at least 30 sharpshooting divisions, 2,000 tanks and 2,000 airplanes.

"Speaking of munitions needed for military operations, since we had our hands full with replenishing the operational consumption against Chine as well as with organizing new units, we could not provide for satisfactory reserve stores of dispensable materials. At the time of the Nomonhan Incident the quantity of operational materials which the Kwantung Army possessed was far from sufficient, compared with the number of its troops. Already at the first stage of hostility, our troops experienced hard fighting due to the insufficient supply of arms and ammunition.

"Later on such arms as anti-tank guns which belonged to the armed forces in China were used for re-equipping the troops of the Kwantung Army.

"In short, Japan at that time was not capable of contemplating an attack against Soviet Russia, so much so she did her utmost to avoid starting any trouble with Soviet Russia.

"14. The Nomonhan Incident broke out when

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

on I'ay 12th 1939 the Outer Mongolian forces crossed the Harka River which was designated as the border line between Manchoukuo and Outer Mongolia and attacked Manchurian armed forces. It was plain enough that Outer Fongolia was the one who was responsible for the occurrence of the Incident. On account of the invasion, the Kwantung Army on the ground of its obligations dispatched a squad which drove the invading troops away outside the border. However, every time it accomplished its mission, the squad returned to the place where it had been formerly stationed. This means that although the Kwantung Army did not connive at the invasion of l'anchoukuo's border line, it took every possible care to avoid the further development of the Incident. The Central Authorities in Japan were informed of the details of the incident and the measure taken by the Kwantung Army was fully complied with the intention of the Central Authorities which left the settlement of the incident to the Kwantung Army. Meanwhile, investigation on the part of the General Staff and the l'inistry of "ar decided the general principle for settling the Nomonhan Border Incident on May 31st.

"The gist of the principle was as follows:

"'"e place confidence in the policy of the

Kwantung Army which endeavors to localize the incident by punishing and pulverizing the invading

Outer Mongolian forces and Soviet ground and air

forces within the border with elastic military operations. "e shall, with the future development of the
incident, control operations of the Kwantung Army
and are expecting the Army to terminate hostilities
by making the enemy refrain from entering the district
north of Harka River through his failure in operation.'"

Mongolian Army of 1,000 strong, with 50 tanks and more than 10 guns, crossed the border and attacked the Manchurian Army; and about 15 airplanes of the UseR bombed Haronal shan and another 30 bombed Kanchurmiao area. Therefore, the Kwantung Army ordered the 23rd Division, 1 infantry Regiment of the 7th Division, and 2 regiments of tanks, under the command of the 23rd Division Commander, to repulse the soviet-Mongolian forces which had crossed the border, and, at the same time, to stop UseR air activity, the Kwantung Army sent out an air force to bomb the advanced air base in Tomsk.

"This air raid was quite justifiable to the Kwantung Army, but the Supreme Command, desiring the incident be kept small, decided it wise to prohibit air activities and sent the head of the 1st Section to notify the Kwantung Army on the 29th of June that it should endeavor to limit ground fighting within the Manchuria and Mongolia border district, east of Lake Boil, and that air activities over enemy base be discontinued.

"As the result of this, the air force of the Kwantung Army discontinued the air raids over Tomsk and confined its activities to repulsing the

Mongolian Army of 1,000 strong, with 50 tanks and more than 10 guns, crossed the border and attacked the Manchurian Army; and about 15 airplanes of the USSR bombed Haronal Shan and another 30 bombed Kanchurmiao area. Therefore, the Kwantung Army ordered the 23rd Division, 1 infantry Regiment of the 7th Division, and 2 regiments of tanks, under the command of the 23rd Division Commander, to repulse the Soviet-Mongolian forces which had crossed the border, and, at the same time, to stop USSR air activity, the Kwantung Army sent out an air force to bomb the advanced air base in Tomsk.

"This air raid was quite justifiable to the Kwantung Army, but the Supreme Command, desiring the incident be kept small, decided it wise to prohibit air activities and sent the head of the 1st Section to notify the Kwantung Army on the 29th of June that it should endeavor to limit ground fighting within the Manchuria and Mongolia border district, east of Lake Boil, and that air activities over enemy base be discontinued.

"As the result of this, the air force of the Kwantung Army discontinued the air raids over Tomsk and confined its activities to repulsing the

attacking enemy. The Foviet air force increased its bembing activities and began bombing deep into the interior of Manchuria, therefore, the Kwantung Army again emphasized the necessity of bombing the USFR and Mongolian air bases, but firmly in view of the general situation the Supreme Command refused it. When the Commander of the Kwantung Army was summoned to Tokyo, the reason for its impossibility was explained by the Supreme Command and permission was not granted in spite of his repeated request.

"The situation of the air force entering the month of August was in a condition where the enemy base had to be neutralized in order to maintain fighting power of the air force. Thereupon, as a result of the repeated inspection of the condition on the spot by the Central authorities, and also considering the repeated demand by the Kwantung Army, on August 3rd it was acknowledged that air combat tactic be revised for self-defense. On August 7th an order was issued to the Kwantung Army to the effect that it could attack, with its air units, the enemy air bases in the vicinity of Tomsk and the district east of it for the Nomonhan area operation, if the situation necessitated it.

"16. After August 20, the Soviet Mongolian

week's fighting the 23rd Division suffered tremendous loss. The estimated strength of the soviet Mongolian forces at the time was: 3 sniper Divisions, 5 mechanized Brigades, several hundred air planes, a regiment of the Army General Reserve Artillery, several regiments of Army Corp Artillery, and 2 Divisions of the Outer Mongolian Cavalry. At that time, the German-Soviet Non-Aggression Treaty was made and in the Hulunbair district the cold of the winter had already set in. The advent of bitter cold was imminent, so the Supreme Command was very eager to settle the incident before the setting in of cold winter.

"The Kwantung Army, in accordance with this situation, took measures for sending about 3 Divisions to the front for reinforcement. The Supreme Command, in order to make up for the deficiency in the military strength for the defense of Manchuria, considered extracting 2 Divisions from the forces in China, but as a result of further investigation of the real situation, it judged that the throwing in of large forces may contrarily make more difficult a speedy settlement of the Incident. Thus, the plan for transferring 2 Divisions was abandoned.

"On August 30th, the Imperial Headouarters issued an order to the effect that the intention is to keep the northern frontier of Manchuria peaceful, setting part of the Imperial forces against the Soviet Union, until the China Incident is settled. For this purpose, a plan to terminate military operation as soon as possible in 'Nomonhan' areas was to be formed without spreading hostile actions. The Commander of the Kwantung Army should try to sustain with the smallest military strength. On issuance of this order, Vice-Chief of Staffs, General NAKAJIMA, rushing to Changchun, delivered it to the Kwantung Army.

"The Supreme Command expected that the Kwantung Army, by this order, would change its order of battle. However, it was made clear that the dispute should be settled after the Army gave a hard blow upon the enemy force with reinforced military strength as based on the primary plan. Just then, the European War Broke out. Therefore, the Supreme Command, judging the time has come to settle the dispute through diplomatic negotiation, hurriedly sent Vice-Chief of staff, General NAKAJIMA again on September 3rd to Changchun to deliver an order that in view of the present situation, henceforth the Supreme Command would

attempt to settle the border troubles of 'Nomonhan' area autonomously and the Commander of the Kwantung Army should suspend the offensive actions in that area.

Wangtung Army had already issued an order of the offensive and consequently its units were going to start their military actions on September 10th. The Commander was in an awkward position. Thereupon the central authorities speeded up the management concerning personnel affairs, and new Commander General UMEZU, arriving at Changchun on September 8th as a successor, took command of the Army.

"The step taken by the Imperial Headquarters concerning the 'Nomonhan' dispute as stated above, was carried out under a complete agreement with the War Ministry authorities. There was no different opinion among them.

"18. Based on the cease-fire agreement concluded between TOGO and Molotov in Moscow through diplomatic negotiations, the order of the Imperial Headquarters concerning the suspension of hostile actions was issued immediately on September 16th, and the 'Nomonhan' Dispute was settled.

"Signed HASHIMOTO, Gun (seal)"

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

19

20

18

21

22

23

25

No further direct.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Colonel Smirnov of the

Russian Prosecution Section.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Moore.

LANGUAGE ARBITER: (Major Moore)

If the Tribunal please, defense document No. 1478, exhibit No. 2621, line 13 after the words "it also was" insert the word "burned."

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, Major.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY COLONEL EMIRNOV:

Q I would like to verify your official position.

It is said in your affidavit that you were Chief

of the First Section of the General Staff. What

section was that and part of what division of the

General Staff?

A My affidavit should have stated I was Chief of the First Division. The Chief of the First Section is under the Chief of the First Division.

Q Under what division of the General Staff was the section you served?

A Under the Chief of the General Staff there are the Vice-Chief of Staff, the General Affairs Division, the First Division, the Second Division, the Third Division and the Fourth Division. I was

Chief of the First Division.

Q What were your official functions?

A Operations and the organization of the armies were my principal duties.

Q Were you in a position to make independent decisions on the military questions -- operational questions?

A My job was to draft plans for operations, but I did not have the power of making decisions on those drafts -- I myself.

Q so your position in the General Staff was somewhat that of a reviewer, was it not?

A I was not an adviser. I was the Staff Officer.

Q In your affidavit you always speak on behalf of the Supreme Command; pages 5-7 of your affidavit, document No. 974. What entitles you to identify vourself with the supreme Command and speak on behalf of the supreme Command, I would like to know? Your official position at that time evidently didn't entitle you to do so; is that correct?

A I was speaking of the actions taken by the General Staff Office. But that was not -- that is not to say -- but I did not mean by that that I decided things on my own authority. I testified of these things because the things that I planned --

the plans that I drew up in the line of my official duties were passed upon by my superiors and approved by the General staff Office and thereupon by the General staff as a whole and it is therefore that I testified on these things.

Q But you yourself independently could not in no occasion take independent operational decisions; is that so?

A As you say.

Q Then, have you visited at least one of the operational areas of which you speak in your affidavit; the area of Changkufeng of the Nomonhan Area?

A I have.

Q Precisely where?

A First, concerning the Changkufeng Area, I arrived there on the day the truce agreement was signed.

Q so you were in the Changkufeng Area when all the battle operations were finished?

A As you say.

Q And when were you in the Nomonhan Area?

A I was in the Nomonhan from June 29, and from June 30th to July 1st I was in the actual area of operations there.

Q so you were there only for one day?

1 2

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

the plans that I drew up in the line of my official duties were passed upon by my superiors and approved by the General staff Office and thereupon by the General Staff as a whole and it is therefore that I testified on these things.

Q But you yourself independently could not in no occasion take independent operational decisions; is that so?

A As you say.

Then, have you visited at least one of the operational areas of which you speak in your affidavit; the area of Changkufeng of the Nomonhan Area?

A I have.

Q Precisely where?

First, concerning the Changkufeng Area, I arrived there on the day the truce agreement was signed.

so you were in the Changkufeng Area when all the battle operations were finished?

As you say.

And when were you in the Nomonhan Area? Q

I was in the Nomonhan from June 29, and from June 30th to July 1st I was in the actual area of overations there.

So you were there only for one day?

As you say. In what area? During the -- In the Nomonhan Area I went as far as the banks of the Harka River -- as the bridge across the Harka River. THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-past one. (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

25

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
1	
2	The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at
3	
	1330, Hon Bernard Victor A. Roling, Member from the
	Kingdom of the Netherlands, not sitting.
	GUN HASHIMOTO, called as a witness on
l	behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and
	testified through Japanese interpreters as
ŀ	follows:
-	COLONEL SMIRNOV: May I continue, your Honor?
ŀ	THE PRESIDENT: Continue, Colonel Smirnov, yes.
	BY COLONEL SMIRNOV (Continued):
	Q So you were in the area of the Nomongan Incident
	only one day, on July 29, isn't that so?
	A From the 30th of June to the first of July.
	Q Exactly in what sector of battle operations
	were you?
	A It was on the day that the troops under the
	command of the commander of the 23rd Division repulsed
	the enemy who had crossed the river crossed the
	border.
-	Q How many kilometers from the River Halkhin
	W. W

A I was right near the Halkhin River.

24

25

in the better cases?

	Q On the eastern bank of the river or on the
wes	tern bank?
	A On the eastern bank.
	Q Were you in some way connected with the
Jep	enesc army intelligence organs according to your
off	icial duties on the General Staff?
	A The Japanese intelligence department, that is
to	say the Second Division of the General Staff Office,
did	have limison with the First Division of the General
Sta	ff Office.
	Q In your affidavit you give a number of Soviet
div	isions in the Far East. Besides, you give a number
of	Soviet tanks and planes. By what documents do you
con	firm these data?
	A I remember the approximate number of the
div	isions there from intelligence reports received by
our	intelligence division.
	Q Can you now submit to the Tribunal any docu-
m∈n	t confirming this data?
	A I have no such documents at my disposal now
end	I believe it would be difficult to discover where
the	y are.

Q So you gave all these data from your memory

A The approximate number I have given from my

memory.

Q And besides you cannot tell the names of those documents from which you derive your data, can you?

THE RUSSIAN MONITOR: On which you rely.

What names are you referring too

Q The names of those documents on which you base all those data on the Soviet armed forces.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If your Honor please, I have the impression that the witness said something that was not translated into English. I don't know what it was.

Q I again repeat my question: I ask, can you give the names of the documents which contained -- which allegedly contained information on the Soviet armed forces in the Far East?

A Since many documents of that nature were in existence at the time, if a thorough search is made some of these documents may be brought to light. However, what I have written in my affidavit concerning this point was written from my memory and there may be some documents which confirm what I have said. However, I have never made any effort to search them out. The strong preparations for war which the Soviet Union were making at the time constituted a real threat to Japan and was a very important matter so that it has

memory.

1 2

5

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

14 15

16

18

20

22

23

24

Q And besides you cannot till the names of those documents from which you derive your data, can you?

THE RUSSIAN MONITOR: On which you rely.

What names are you referring to

Q The names of those documents on which you base all those data on the Soviet armed forces.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If your Honor please, I have the impression that the witness said something that was not translated into English. I don't know what it was.

Q I again repeat my question: I ask, can you give the names of the documents which contained -- which allegedly contained information on the Soviet armed forces in the Far East?

A Since many documents of that nature were in existence at the time, if a thorough search is made some of these documents may be brought to light. However, what I have written in my affidavit concerning this point was written from my memory and there may be some documents which confirm what I have said. However, I have never made any effort to search them out. The strong preparations for war which the Soviet Union were making at the time constituted a real threat to Japan and was a very important matter so that it has

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

remained in my mind right up to the present -- the figures have remained in my mind right up to the present. Q So I will be correct in saying that you cannot name those documents now, is that correct? A I am convinced that the figures I have given in my affidavit are true. So it will be correct to say that all these data are based upon rumors only? Since I am a living witness I at least think that what I say is true. That is all I can say. THE MONITOR: And it is not a rumor. Q And, therefore, your memory is the only source on which you can rely? A No. As I said, documents bearing this out may be found if they are sought for. What I wanted to say was that I did not make any such search. At any rate you have no such documents? They are not now at my disposal. Was it your official duty to give conclusions as to the question of whether any violation of the border was done by the opponent side? A Yes, that was one of my duties, of course. And so you gave conclusions that in both

cases, in the bettles in the Khasan Lake area and in

the Nomongan area, it was the Soviet troops who violated

the frontier?

A I knew that the Soviet troops had crossed
the border from reports which the General Staff
received from Japanese troops on the spot.

THE MONITOR: General Staff should be deleted.

	Q	Had	you	conducted	any	verification	fication of	
information		ion,	you	rself?				545
			_					

A No, I did not. I do not believe there was any necessity for my having done so.

O Thus in the case of the battles in the Lake Khasan area you gave the conclusion the Soviet troops had violated the borders of U. S. S. R. even -- in conducting any verification of this information?

A I believed reports which we received from the army.

O Do you know that the border line after the Khasen Lake Incident remained precisely the same as the Soviet side insisted?

A There was a difference in the border line as the Soviet Union conceived it, and the border line which the Manchurian government had insisted on until then. But I can testify that it is true that the Soviet troops went even further into our territory than even the border line which the Soviets insisted on. This was borne out by reports from the army which I received when I went to that area.

THE MONITOR: "Our territory" should be "into the Manchurian territory."

Q And so you know that the Chengkufeng

1 2

Q Had you conducted any verification of this information, yourself?

A No. I did not. I do not believe there was

A No, I did not. I do not believe there was any necessity for my having done so.

O Thus in the case of the battles in the Lake Khasan area you gave the conclusion the Soviet troops had violated the borders of U. S. S. R. even -- in conducting any verification of this information?

A I believed reports which we received from the army.

O Do you know that the border line after the Khasen Lake Incident remained precisely the same as the Soviet side insisted?

A There was a difference in the border line as the Soviet Union conceived it, and the border line which the Manchurian government had insisted on until then. But I can testify that it is true that the Soviet troops went even further into our territory than even the border line which the Soviets insisted on. This was borne out by reports from the army which I received when I went to that area.

THE MONITOR: "Our territory" should be "into the Manchurian territory."

Q And so you know that the Chengkufeng

1 2

Hill, or the Zaozernaya Hill in Russian, which was the object of the battle, remained in the Soviet territory?

A I recall that the border line which the Soviet Union insisted on was the ridge of Chengkufeng Hill.

O Can I understand this in the following way.

That from your - - that because of your light -
can I understand it in the following way; because

you light mindedly disregarded your duties and

didn't want to check the border line, all this bloodshedding fighting started?

A I deny that most vigorously.

Q But could you, if you wanted to, have at your disposal the Hunchun Agreement of 1886 which defined the border line?

A we were able to judge at the time that the Saviet troops had actually violated the border because even after our study of the treaty, which you mentioned, and two or three maps of that area, we could see that the Soviet troops had violated even the border line as insisted on by the Soviet Union.

O I asked you about a concrete document.

Before the fighting started, did you refer to the Hunchun Agreement of 1886, or not?

Hill, or the Zaozernaya Hill in Russian, which was the object of the battle, remained in the Soviet territory?

A I recall that the border line which the Soviet Union insisted on was the ridge of Chengkufeng Hill.

O Can I understand this in the following way.

That from your - - that because of your light -
can I understand it in the following way; because

you light mindedly disregarded your duties and

didn't want to check the border line, all this bloodshedding fighting started?

A I deny that most vigorously.

Q Put could you, if you wanted to, have at your disposal the Hunchun Agreement of 1886 which defined the border line?

A we were able to judge at the time that the Saviet troops had actually violated the border because even after our study of the treaty, which you mentioned, and two or three maps of that area, we could see that the Soviet troops had violated even the border line as insisted on by the Soviet Union.

Q I asked you about a concrete document.

Before the fighting started, did you refer to the Hunchun Agreement of 1886, or not?

1 2

5

4

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

24

A No. I have not.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

Now let's speak about the Nomongan area.

In this case it was also you who gave the conclusion

of the Soviet troops violating the border?

A We judged that the Soviet troops - - that the longolian troops had violated the border from reports we received from the army on the spot stating the Mongolian troops had cross the Halkhin River and also from the fact that the Halkhin River had long before been thought of by both sides as the battle line between Manchuria and Mongolia.

O Can I understand from your words that you blindly believed information given by the local army authorities and didn't check this information, yourself?

A It was such a commonly accepted fact that the Halkhin River was the border between Manchuria and Mongolia that this was beyond doubt.

THE MONITOR: Outer Mongolia.

From which document did you derive it?
From which maps in particular?

A I remember there was several maps, but I cannot recall them just now.

O From the beginning of the Nomongan Area Incident and until the end of this Incident, you

1	closely watched the course of it, wasn't it so?
2	A Yes, I did very closely.
3	Q And did you also closely watch separate
4	stages of this incident, wasn't it so?
5	A Concerning the small details of the fight-
6	ing, this was left up to the army on the spot and
7	therefore we did not interfere in every small detail
8	of the fighting.
9	Q Do you know the names of the commanders of
10	the army groups at the different stages of the
11	incident?
12	A I did remember them at the time, but I
13	have forgotten that of the first. The second was
14	the YAMAGATA detachment.
1,5	o Can I refresh your memory? . Doesn't the
16	name of Colonel YAMAGATA tell anything to you?
17	A I said that Colonel YAMAGATA was the chief
18	of the detachment sent out the second time.
19	Q He was the commander of the 64th Regiment,
20	wasn't he?
21	A I believe so.
22	COLONEL SMIRNOV: I wish to hand you a map.
23	Your Honor, I submit the map contained in Exhibit 766
24	

it was accepted by the Court previously.

THE PRESIDENT: Show it to the witness.

```
(Whereupon, a map was handed to the
        witness.)
 3
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

NOTE:

The attached pages are corrected pages and should be substituted for the corresponding pages in the record.

Whale & Duda

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

May I ask you, first, to show by a pencil mark the area of the Nomongan area fighting; the area of the fighting?

THE PRESIDENT: He had better use a letter or a number.

Q Second, maybe we will draw your attention as to where the frontier line is marked on this map, and that it is marked along the Khalkhin-Gol River.

RUSSIAN MONITOR: Whether it is marked along the river.

A As I have been saying repeatedly, from this point -- in this area, I thought of the river as the border.

Q This is not the language a military man should speak. I ask you to indicate precisely where the frontier line passes, along the River Khalkhin-Gol or to the east of this river?

A I have drawn a red line on this map.

Q Maybe you will answer me in words. Maybe you will tell me in words whether the frontier line passes along the Khalkhin-Gol River or to the east of that river?

A It passes along the line of the Halkhin River. The Halkhin Fiver is the border.

COLONEL SMIRMOV: Your Honor, in view of this

22

24

Whalen & Duda

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

	Q	May	I as	sk y	ou,	first,	to	show	bу	a	pencil
mark	the	area	of	the	Nor	mongan	area	figi	ntir	ıg;	the
area	of\	the f	ight	ting	2						

THE PRESIDENT: He had better use a letter or a number.

Second, maybe we will draw your attention as to where the frontier line is marked on this map, and that it is marked along the Khalhin-Gol River.

RUSSIAN MONITOR: Whether it is marked along the river.

A As I have been saying repeatedly, from this point -- in this area, I thought of the river as the border.

Q This is not the language a military man should speak. I ask you to indicate precisely where the frontier line passes, along the liver Khalhin-Gol or to the east of this river?

A have drawn a red line on this map.

Q Maybe you will answer me in words. Maybe you will tell me in words whether the frontier line passes along the Khalhin-Gol River or to the east of that river?

A It passes along the line of the Halkhin River. The Halkhin River is the border.

THE PRESIDENT: Your Honor, in view of this

16

17

19 20

21

22 23

24

answer of the witness, the only thing I can do is to ask the Tribunal to refer their attention to the map itself contained in exhibit 766. It is evident from the document that the frontier line passes east of the Khalhin-Gol River.

I ask to return to me the map marked by the

I ask to return to me the map marked by the witness.

THE RESIDENT: He has not marked the original.

The other map marked is not in evidence, as

far as I am aware.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Excuse me, your Honor.

The second map hasn't been tendered in evidence as yet.

But the original of the map is a part of the exhibit 766, and it has been already admitted by the Court, and this map is contained in this exhibit.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, we object to the introduction through this witness of any map of which he doesn't know the origin and where it came from. It seems to me the witness is being misled.

THE RESIDENT: He is a lieutenant general. He knows more about maps than most of us. He marked that map without question.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Besides, your Honor, this map has been already admitted by the Court, so the

remark of the defense counsel is rather late.

Q Now, Mr. Witness, will you tell me, isn't there, in the right corner of the map, a Japanese mark which means top secret and which is habitual for the military documents?

A Yes, there is.

Now, in this case, Mr. Witness, will you tell me, why should the Japanese High Command give the false maps, and which they knew that they were false, to the local command? It means that the question of the frontiers was not very clear, wasn't it?

A This is the first time I have seen this map with the borderline on it. I say vigorously that there is no reason why such a map should have been given to the army, and also that in actuality such a map was not given to the army.

When even that part of the Japanese army which led the fighting in that area had the map on which the frontier was marked in the way asserted by the Soviet Union?

A I do not believe that this map was in the hands of the army on the spot.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, I feel it should be pointed out that this map to which counsel refers was not included in the copies of papers which were delivered to defense counsel at the time the exhibit was tendered into evidence. As far as I know, we have never seen it before.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection to non-service comes rather late. I think we had better continue, in view of the late objection. But you should be provided with a copy.

MR. FURNESS: We could not very well object to non-service of the document when the first knowledge we had of it was at this particular time.

THE PRESIDENT: You could have objected as soon as the document was handed to the witness. That is what I mean.

MR. FURNESS: He said, as I recollect, it was not in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: This particular map, I understand, that he has been using now is a copy of that in evidence. That may be a misapprehension, but they appear to be photostat copies of the same original. But let it be checked. I may be guessing.

Is that a photostat copy of the original that the witness is now perusing, Colonel Smirnov?

COLONEL SMIRNOV: That is perfectly correct, your Honor. This is a photostat copy of the map which is contained in the exhibit No. 766, which the Court has.

MR. FURNESS: If your Honor please, no photostatic copy of any map was attached to the papers which were served on us as exhibit 766. There is a photostat of a map attached to exhibit No. 767, but it is an entirely different map.

3

4

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

13

21

20

22

23 24

25

of the opportunity to give information on the question whether this map was served upon defense at the time or not because I wasn't here; I was at Nuernberg.

THE PRESIDENT: I am now looking at the map attached to exhibit No. 766 as filed with the clerk of the court. It is the map last marked by the witness. It appears to be a photostat copy of something.

How are the rivers indicated?

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, the rivers are indicated in the following way: The lake marked in the left-hand corner of the map is the Lake Buir Nor.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness said that the boundary was a river. He named it, and has drawn a red line along what appears to be a river.

Now, what we want to be sure of is this: Does the red line follow the river all the way? It makes the slightest departures at points.

I want the witness to answer. The witness will answer, please.

THE WITNESS: The border follows the river all the way. My hand may have deviated slightly along the course.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, I only ask you to pay attention to the line of the real frontier, which

of the opportunity to give information on the question whether this map was served upon defense at the time

or not because I wasn't here; I was at Nuernberg.

THE PRESIDENT: I am now looking at the map attached to exhibit No. 766 as filed with the clerk of the court. It is the map last marked by the witness.

It appears to be a photostat copy of something.

How are the rivers indicated?

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, the rivers are indicated in the following way: The lake marked in the left-hand corner of the map is the Lake Buir Nor.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness said that the boundary was a river. He named it, and has drawn a red line along what appears to be a river.

Now, what we want to be sure of is this: Does the red line follow the river all the way? It makes the slightest departures at points.

I want the witness to answer. The witness will answer, please.

THE WITNESS: The border follows the river all the way. My hand may have deviated slightly along the course.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, I only ask you to pay attention to the line of the real frontier, which

1 2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

22

23

2-1

1	is to the east of the Khalhin-Gol River.
2	THE PRESIDENT: Well, there are lines here
3	that may be railway lines, or may be roads, or may
4	be boundaries.
5	MR. FURNESS: The only testimony with regard
6	to it is the witness' testimony as far as I know.
7	THE PRESIDENT: Has this map the usual legend
8	showing what are railways, what are roads, and what
9	are boundaries?
10	Let him look at the map in evidence, being
11	that attached to exhibit 766.
12	Answer that question.
13	THE WITNESS: I am sorry. May I have the
14	question repeated?
15	THE PRESIDENT: Is there on that map any
16	writing which says what are roads, what are railways,
17	and what are boundaries?
18	THE WITNESS: There is nothing to indicate any
20	such thing.
21	THE PRESIDENT: On the left of the river
22	marked by you, Witness, there are dotted lines; what
25	do they indicate?
24	THE WITNESS: I am sorry, I do not know. I
25	cannot tell what it is.

THE PRESIDENT: You had better attempt to

clear it up, Colonel Smirnov. You know more about it than we do. COLONEL SMIRNOV: Yes, your Honor, I will 3 check it in another way. 4 May I continue the cross-examination? 5 THE PRESIDENT: You may. 6 COLONEL SMIRNOV: May the witness be shown 7 exhibit No. 767, official map attached to the agreement between Molotov and TOGO? THE PRESIDENT: Well, this map appears to 10 have been taken out of the registry by Bernard Hargadon 11 12 on behalf of the defense on the 6th of May at 10 o'clock. 13 MR. FURNESS: If your Honor please, the lieu-14 tenant is one of our administrative officers and took 15 it out at Major Blakeney's request. Major Blakeney 16 can go and get it if the Court desires it at this time. 17 We are agreeable to the use of the copies which 18 wre attached to the papers which were served on de-19 fense counsel, exhibit 767. 20 THE PRESIDENT: Use the copies so far as they 21 are complete. But the copy should be tendered. 22 COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, this map has been 23 also tendered in evidence and was accepted by the Court. 24 BY COLONEL SMIRNOV: (Continued) 25 I ask you, Mr. Witness, to compare the lines

on the first map and on the second map; do not they 1 coincide? THE RUSSIAN MONITOR: Correction: The 3 boundary line. 4 The boundary line is marked in a different 5 way on the two maps. By the boundary line do you mean the black line? 7 Will you tell me, is the area of the fighting 8 marked on the second map on the Mongolian territory? 9 May I ask you if the boundary line is the black 10 line on the second map -- on this second map? 11 12 Yes, that is correct. 13 In that case all the more I can say that the 14 area of fighting was in Manchurian territory. 15 On the Mongolian torritory? That was a slip 16 of the tengue, wasn't it? 17 A According to the second map the boundary line 18 is on the fer side of the Halkhin River, which would 19 make the fighting even more on the inside of Manchurian 20 territory. 21 Q On the Mongolian territory? 22 Can you tell where is Manchuria and where is

23 24

25

Mongolia on the map?

A As far as which side is the Manchurian side and which side is the Mongolian side, I have said

repeatedly that the Halkhin River was the line of demarcation. Therefore, if the boundary should be on the far side of the river, that would make the area of fighting even more within Manchurian territory.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, in this case there are either some mistakes in the translation, or absolute ignorance on the part of the witness.

..

Spratt & Yelder

THE PRESIDENT: There is on my part too. As far as I can judge he is consistent but I may be wrong again. I expected that answer. He said the river was the boundary. He marked the river as the boundary and he said the fighting was on the left-hand side or on the far side which would be the left-hand side coming down from the source. That is only my impression.

Other Members may take a different view but I formed that impression. We are all handicapped without maps, of course.

COLONEL SMIRMOV: Your Honor, I can send in those maps although I have them in insufficient number of copies.

It seems to me, your Honor, that the witness is either grossly mistaken or purposely distorts the real situation. The right-hand side of the map is Manchuria. The left-hand side of the map is Mongolia. As the witness said, the area of the fighting was between the border line and the river. This is Mongolian territory.

MR. FURNESS: Your Honor, I must object to the prosecutor's testifying and to his attacking the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: He is not doing either. He is arguing with me actually because I came to the

3

4.

6

8

9

11

12

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

23 24

25

witness' rescue or purported to do so. Perhaps I cannot save him but I thought the witness was consistent.

MR. FURNESS: I also protest against his misquoting the witness. The witness has testified consistently that the border was the river.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, these maps are tendered to prove that the border line passes not along the river but to the east of that river, and that is very easy to see by looking at the map attached to the agreement between Molotov and TOGO, being exhibit 766.

THE PRESIDENT: On the map attached to 766 the witness marked the area of fighting on the right of what he said was the boundary line, being the river, in other words, to the east of the river.

Whether that is Mongolia or Manchuria, I do not know.

What do you say it is, Colonel Smirnov? I want your contention, not your evidence. Is Mongolia to the east of the river or to the west of it?

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Mongolian territory is to the mest, your Honor; Manchurian territory is to the east of that river.

THE PRESIDENT: Apparently the witness is consistent. That is all I can say.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 I ask the witness to look at the map 2 attached to the exhibit 766 and let him tell me 3 where is the battle area according to the map on the 4 Mongolian territory or on the Manchurian territory? Do you mean this map? 6 I mean the map attached to the agreement between Molotov and Togo, exhibit 766. The area of fighting is clearly inside A Manchurian territory. I ask that the witness answer the following question then: Is the area between the Halkhin River and the border line on the Manchurian territory or on the Mongolian territory? Since the river itself is the border line. I don't know how to answer your question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

I ask the witness to look at the map attached to the exhibit 766 and let him tell me where is the battle area according to the map on the Mongolian territory or on the Manchurian territory? Do you mean this map? I mean the map attached to the agreement between Molotov and Togo, exhibit 766. A The area of fighting is clearly inside Manchurian territory. I ask that the witness answer the following question then: Is the area between the Halkhin River and the border line on the Manchurian territory or on the Mongolian territory? Since the river itself is the border line, I don't know how to answer your question.

-

19

20

21

22

24

1 2

3

6

7

5

9

10

11

12

13 14

16

15

18

19

20

17

21 22

23

25

Q Don't you see that there is a space between the border line and the river? "hom does that space belong to, to Mongolia or Manchuria? Look at the map.

A "hat you say is the border line on this map is to the west of the river.

THE MONITOR: Not "what you say," but the border line indicated on this map.

A (Continuing). Therefore, if we are to believe this map, Manchurian territory extends further, even further to the west of the Halkhin River.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel, I suggest that you get the witness to mark on this map what he says is the border line and also the area of fighting.

Q I ask you to mark on this map the frontier line and the area of the fighting.

A I have indicated the border line. However, since the map is not very clear, it is difficult for me to indicate the area of fighting.

Q What did you mark, Witness?

A I indicated the border line which, according to my contention, runs along the Halkhin River.

THE PRESIDENT: The treaty which covers the map may indicate where the border line is, Colonel.

You ought to look at that and draw the witness' attention to it. Do so after the recess.

we will recess for fifteen minutes. (Thereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

G r n b e r g & L f 10

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. " COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, may I hand to you the original court exhibit which shows all these lines more clearly than the photostat copy? (Whereupon, a document was

handed to the President.)

THE PRESIDENT: Exhibit No. 767.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: May I then hand in the map marked by the witness?

(Whereupon, a document was

handed to the President.)

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Maybe, having compared these two maps, you, your Honor, will be convinced that the witness took for the Khalkhin-Gol River the railroad line marked on the map. This map is the original map attached to the agreement signed by Molotov and TOGO on the subject of the final border line. Mongolian and Soviet parties, during this fighting, constantly insisted that the frontier line passes east of the Khalkhin-Gol River, that is above the Khalkhin-Gol River. The Japanese insisted that the frontier line runs along the Khalkhin-Gol River.

If your Honor will look at the map, you

24

will be convinced that the frontier line passes east of the Khalkhin-Gol River, that is, above the Khalkhin-Gol River. The misunderstanding is caused by the gross mistake on the part of the witness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please -THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

IR. FURNESS: I think the map attached to exhibit No. 767 shows the border line to which Japan agreed after the conclusion of the Molotov-TCGO Agreement, nothing more. It does not show what it was prior to that as far as the Japanese contention was concerned.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: May I refer your Honor to the inscriptions on the map which indicate the frontier line prior to the incident and after the incident? May the witness be shown another copy of this map which is, maybe, more clear and which is in the Japanese language?

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, the legend is pasted over on the original map. Furthermore, the legend refers to a map one by one million, and at the top it says, "A copy of a map, scale one to two hundred thousand." Therefore, I believe that the legend at the bottom refers to a different map

7 8

than the map to which it is pasted.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, maybe when the photostat copy was made, the scale was reduced.

Your Honor, with your permission, I hand to the witness another map which is probably more clear.

THE PRESIDENT: I think we ought to try to understand the map attached to exhibit No. 767, being the treaty between the USSR and the Japanese of June 9, 1940. It may be that the photostat copy has a scale of one to two hundred thousand, whereas the original had a scale of one to one million. That is possible.

MR. FURNESS: They are both written on the same map, if your Honor please. Furthermore, the prosecution has just said that the colored map, which is on the original exhibit, is one of the original maps attached to the Molotov-TOGO Agreement. I call attention to the fact that the map attached to the original exhibit is approximately the same size as the photostat which is attached to the copy.

THE PRESIDENT: I think it is a copy. I think the explanation is what I have given. The

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

copies provided for our purposes are just one-fifth the size of those used in the actual treaties between the USSR and the Japanese, but the size of the map is beside the question. The question is, where is the boundary line? And, again, where was the fighting. It is necessary to go into that old document to which you refer, the document of 1886, perhaps, Colonel. This treaty may have fixed some arbitrary line. What we have to decide is the boundary at the time of the fighting. That should be discovered from maps antecedent to the fighting.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, I will submit later on such maps. But, the map of 1886 concerns not the Nomonhan Area Incident but the Chengkufeng Incident. These two incidents are different.

THE PRESIDENT: No. The question arises as to the competence of this witness. He was a soldier, and he was not much concerned as to the exact position of boundary lines. Perhaps, he should have been, but was he?

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, may I hand to the witness the same map but only the Japanese copy of this map and request him to mark on this map the area of the fighting?

THE PRESIDENT: Do so.

24

BY COLONEL SMIRNOV (Continued):

2 3

1

4

5 6

7

8 0

10

11 12

13 14

15

17

18

20 21

19

22 23

24

25

Mr. Witness, I ask you to make the area of the fighting on the map which has been given to you, the same map, only the Japanese copy of the map. I ask you to put pencil lines on the entire area of the fighting.

A I have filled in the battle area. I have marked the battle area. However, this map is a map which was drawn up after the fighting was concluded.

(Whereupon, the witness handed a document to Colonel Smirnov.)

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, may I ask you to give an order to file this map to the record of the case because the witness made a gross mistake here. I think that there is no need to question him further on this point, and, therefore, I will pass to other questions.

THE PRESIDENT: Tender in evidence the maps he has marked or the copies thereof which are not already in evidence. That is advice, not a direction.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, I ask to file these two maps marked by the witness with the record of the case. Yes, your Honor, I ask you to accept these two maps marked by the witness in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

Continue with the numbers. They are two thousand four hundred-something.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense documents referred to herein, being two separate maps, will be given exhibit Nos. 2623 and 2623-A.

(Whereupon, the documents above referred to were marked prosecution exhibits 2623 and 2623-A, respectively.)

THE PRESIDENT: How many has he marked that are not in evidence? Make sure that all go in.

COLONEL SMIRNOV: He marked three maps, your Honor. One map was taken during the fighting together with the YAMAGATA Detachment. It was seized by the Red Army during the fighting with this detachment.

MR. FURNESS: I must object, if your Honor please, to the prosecutor testifying about the origin of these maps, where they were taken -- Colonel YAMAGATA. As far as I know, there is no evidence regarding that in the case.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld. We will describe the documents in some other way. The first copy marked was that which corresponds

1 2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

with the map attached to exhibit 766. That will get the first exhibit No. Call that out.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The first map will be given exhibit No. 766-A.

(Whereupon, the map above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 766-A and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: I think the next one marked was the map which corresponds with that attached to exhibit 767.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The second map will receive exhibit No. 767-A.

(Whereupon, the map above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 767-A and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: The third was a copy of the Japanese map of which there is no corresponding map in the evidence so far.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, I think what he refers to as the Japanese map is the copy of the map attached to the Japanese copies of exhibit 767.

THE PRESIDENT: If you are agreed on that, that will be marked 767-B.

(Whereupon, the document shove

referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 767-B and received in evidence.)

MR. FURNESS: It is not a captured map or a map which was found in Japanese military offices or anything like that.

colonel smirnov: Mr. Furness is quite correct, your Honor. The third map is the Japanese map from exhibit 767.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Smirnov is now about to get on to a new matter.

COMONIE SMIPNOV: Yes, your Honor.

BY COLONEL SMIRNOV (Combinued):

Q Mr. Witness, do you know about the incident which took place in the Nomenham and the Khalkhin-Gol River area on the 2nd, 3rd and 4th of July, 1939?

A I know of it from reports which I received.

Kapleau & Wolf

4.

Q	Do	you	know	Major	General	KOBAYASHI?
---	----	-----	------	-------	---------	------------

- A Yes, I know him.
- Q And Lieutenant General YASUOKA?
- A Yes.
- Q Tell me about the events of July 2, 3 and

A Although I do not remember the details, I recall that at the time -- that was the time when the YAFUOKA Unit, the main force of the Japanese, repulsed the -- began to repulse the soviet troops from the east side of the Harka River to a point near the Harka River.

Q For what purpose did the Japanese troops cross on to the western part of the Khalhin-Gol River, if even, acording to the Japanese version the western bank of the Khalhin-Gol River was on the Mongolian side?

A While in the course of repulsing Soviet troops who had crossed to the east side of the Harka River, the Japanese did cross the Harka River for a time in that offensive on one occasion. That was only one small detail of the whole fighting going on at the time.

Q fo, you want me to understand that the

Japanese troops accidently jumped over the river 150 meters

wide?

A They did not go over it accidently. They went over it because they planned to.

THE MONITOR: The crossing of the river was based on a plan.

A (Continuing) The reason why the Japanese forces had to cross the Harka River is this: The Manchurian side of this river is low and the Mongolian side of this river was high ground. The Soviet artillery on the other side of the -- on the Mongolian side of the Harka River, taking advantage of this high ground, supported the Outer Mongolian forces who had invaded -- who had gone to the east side of -- who invaded the east side of the Harka River, and, therefore, the Japanese had difficulty in repulsing these Outer Mongolian forces. In other words, the infantry, the Outer Mongolian infantry, was on the east side of the river and the artillery was on the west side of the river.

THE MONITOR: In other words, the infantry was in front of the river and the artillery forces were behind the river.

A (Continuing) In order to repulse these forces, while carrying on an -- while launching a frontal attack on the infantry force in front of the

river, it was only natural that we should also launch a flank attack on the artillery behind the river, and from the point of view of military strategy I believe that our action was quite justifiable.

Q Thus, even from your point of view, you deliberately invaded the Mongolian side; is that so?

A We only crossed the river as one means of repulsing Soviet troops who had invaded the east side of the river. As soon as the operation was over, we returned to the east side of the river.

THE MONITOR: Instead of "the east side of the river" it should be "Manchurian."

Q In what direction were you trying to drive away the Mongolian -- these forces? That was the Mongolian territory, wasn't it? Did you want to drive them away from the Mongolian territory?

A No, it was Manchurian territory as I have just explained.

Q You have just said that the Japanese forces crossed on to the western bank of the river.

A Then, I shall explain once more. The Outer Mongolian infantry invaded the river and entered Manchurian territory. The main force of the artillery aided this operation from the opposite side of the river.

river, it was only natural that we should also launch a flank attack on the artillery behind the river, and from the point of view of military strategy I believe that our action was quite justifiable.

Q Thus, even from your point of view, you deliberately invaded the Mongolian side; is that so?

A We only crossed the river as one means of repulsing Soviet troops who had invaded the east side of the river. As soon as the operation was over, we returned to the east side of the river.

THE MONITOR: Instead of "the east side of the river" it should be "Manchurian."

Q In what direction were you trying to drive away the Mongolian -- these forces? That was the Mongolian territory, wasn't it? Did you want to drive them away from the Mongolian territory?

A No, it was Manchurian territory as I have just explained.

Q You have just said that the Japanese forces crossed on to the western bank of the river.

A Then, I shall explain once more. The Outer Mongolian infantry invaded the river and entered Manchurian territory. The main force of the artillery aided this operation from the opposite side of the river.

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

THE MONITOR: In other words, artillery was based on Mongolian side and the infantry penetrated into Manchurian territory.

A (Continuing) It was impossible to repulse the infantry who had invaded our side of the -- the Manchurian side of the river without repulsing -- without attacking the artillery which were behind the river. Therefore, a part of our forces made a frontal attack on the infantry in front of the river, while another part of our forces crossed the river and attacked the artillery on the other side of the river. This was a -- We crossed the river merely in order to repulse the troops which had already invaded our side of the river and it was merely a temporary measure and we withdrew as soon as the operation was completed, and on the next day our forces were all on our side of the river.

THE MONITOR: Instead of "our side"; "the forces were withdrawn."

Q Thus, a striking group under Major General KOBAYA: HI tried to seize a speerhead on the western bank of the Khalkhin-Gol River.

A I don't believe that was so.

Q Do you know anything about the fighting at the Mountain Baintsagan?

1 2

THE MONITOR: In other words, artillery was based on Mongolian side and the infantry penetrated into Manchurian territory.

A (Continuing) It was impossible to repulse the infantry who had invaded our side of the -- the Manchurian side of the river without repulsing -- without attacking the artillery which were behind the river. Therefore, a part of our forces made a frontal attack on the infantry in front of the river, while another part of our forces crossed the river and attacked the artillery on the other side of the river. This was a -- We crossed the river merely in order to repulse the troops which had already invaded our side of the river and it was merely a temporary measure and we withdrew as soon as the operation was completed, and on the next day our forces were all on our side of the river.

THE MONITOR: Instead of "our side"; "the forces were withdrawn."

Q Thus, a striking group under Major General KOBAYAFHI tried to seize a speerhead on the western bank of the Khalkhin-Gol River.

A I don't believe that was so.

Q Do you know anything about the fighting at the Mountain Baintsagan?

1 2

THE MONITOR: In other words, artillery was based on Mongolian side and the infantry penetrated into Manchurian territory.

A (Continuing) It was impossible to repulse the infantry who had invaded our side of the -- the Manchurian side of the river without repulsing -- without attacking the artillery which were behind the river. Therefore, a part of our forces made a frontal attack on the infantry in front of the river, while another part of our forces crossed the river and attacked the artillery on the other side of the river. This was a -- We crossed the river merely in order to repulse the troops which had already invaded our side of the river and it was merely a temporary measure and we withdrew as soon as the operation was completed, and on the next day our forces were all on our side of the river.

THE MONITOR: Instead of "our side"; "the forces were withdrawn."

Q Thus, a striking group under Major General KOBAYA: HI tried to seize a speerhead on the western bank of the Khalkhin-Gol River.

A I don't believe that was so.

Q Do you know anything about the fighting at the Mountain Baintsagan?

	A	May I have the place name repeated, please
Q I don't know. Near what point was the fighting of the striking group under KOBAYAFHI conducted? A I do not know every single detail about the	Q	Baintsagan.
Near what point was the fighting of the striking group under KOBAYAFHI conducted? A I do not know every single detail about the	A	Do you know what the name is in Japanese?
striking group under KOBAYAFHI conducted? A I do not know every single detail about the	Q	I don't know.
A I do not know every single detail about th		Near what point was the fighting of the
	striking	group under KOBAYAFHI conducted?
fighting of the KOBAVASHT Unit	A	I do not know every single detail about th
I Ignoring of the Robarating onits.	fighting	of the KOBAYASHI Unit.

7 8

2-1

Q Baintsagan. A Do you know what the name is in Japanese? Q I don't know. Near what point was the fighting of the striking group under KOBAYAFHI conducted? A I do not know every single detail about the fighting of the KOBAYAFHI Unit.	A	May I have the place name repeated, please?
Q I don't know. Near what point was the fighting of the striking group under KOBAYAFHI conducted? A I do not know every single detail about the	Q	Baintsagan.
Near what point was the fighting of the striking group under KOBAYAFHI conducted? A I do not know every single detail about the	A	Do you know what the name is in Japanese?
striking group under KOBAYAFHI conducted? A I do not know every single detail about the	Q	I don't know.
A I do not know every single detail about the		Near what point was the fighting of the
	striking	group under KOBAYAFHI conducted?
fighting of the KOBAYASHI Unit.	A	I do not know every single detail about the
	fighting	of the KOBAYASHI Unit.

O Did the KOMATSUBARA unit participate in this offensive?

- A I believe they did -- I believe it did.
- O Thus, it was large-scale fighting? It was an undeclared war?

A "Large-scale fighting" is a matter of comparison, and we simply -- our forces were simply such as would be sufficient to repulse the enemy troops who had invaded our -- Manchurian territory.

 I will read a short excerpt from one of the documents.

IR. FURNESS: The prosecutor has referred to a document. May we have it specifically, so we will know what document he is referring to?

COLONEL SMIRNOV: Your Honor, this document has not been tendered and J want to read an excerpt from it, not for the purpose of introducing the document but for the purpose of checking the exact data contained in it. This is an official information statement of the Soviet Press Agency, Tass. published in the newspaper "Izvestiya."

Concerning the fighting in the Baintsagan area on the west bank of the Khalhin-Gol River --

Honor pleases. It is self-serving.

1

2

5

6

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

18

20

21

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: It might be rejected as such -- I don't know -- when it is tendered, but he can cross-examine him. Fe can ask him if that is the fact and whether he denies it. at the end of it.

MR. FURNESS: I will ask at least that it be marked for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: "e will insist on that in due course.

BY COLONEL SHIRNOV:

I will read you an excerpt from this document, I'r. "itness.

"All units of the KAMATSUBARA 23rd Division, supported by an infantry regiment, the 3rd and the 4th Tank Regiments and about six Bargut cavalry regiments took part in the offensive."

Is that true?

A I believe our strength was more or less what you said.

O I continue further:

"The Mongolian and Soviet troops repulsed all the attacks of the Japanese and Manchurian troops in the area of Nomon-Kan-Burb-Obo and caused them severe losses. Northwest of this area the Japanese infantry, supported by no less than 60 tanks, pushed back the cavalry units of the Mongolian and Soviet

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23 24

THE PRESIDENT: It might be rejected as such -- I don't know -- when it is tendered, but he can cross-examine him. Fe can ask him if that is the fact and whether he denies it. at the end of it.

MR. FURNESS: I will ask at least that it be marked for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: "e will insist on that in due course.

BY COLONEL SMIRNOV:

ment, Fr. Witness.

"All units of the KAMATSUBARA 23rd Division, supported by an infantry regiment, the 3rd and the 4th Tank Regiments and about six Bargut cavalry regiments took part in the offensive."

Is that true?

A I believe our strength was more or less what you said.

O I continue further:

"The Mongolian and Soviet troops repulsed all the attacks of the Japanese and Manchurian troops in the area of Nomon-Kan-Burb-Obo and caused them severe losses. Northwest of this area the Japanese infantry, supported by no less than 60 tanks, pushed back the cavalry units of the Mongolian and Soviet

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

24

troops and crossed on to the western bank of the Khalhin-Gol River and seized a small bridgehead there. 3 As the result of the decisive counter-attack of the 4 Soviet and Mongolian troops and air forces, the Japanese troops which had crossed on to the western bank of the Khalhin-Gol River, were pushed back to the east of the Khalhin-Gol River by the end of July 5, suffering great losses." 9 THE PRESIDENT: Put it to him piecemeal, 10 Colonel. 11 BY THE PRESIDENT: 12 Well, Witness, you have heard what was 13 read to you. Do you accept it as true? If not, how 14 far do you differ? 15 A In the first place, we did not use as 16 large a force as that around in June -- around the 17 5th of June in our first operation. Concerning the 18 other points, I don't know anything about the small 19 details of the fighting. 20 BY COLONEL SMIRNOV: 21 O Now, I'r. "itness, I will ask you to tell

at what time in the Nomonhan area there were the

SOVIET INTERPRETER: Correction: The most

most extensive battles.

intensive battles.

22

24

troops and crossed on to the western bank of the

Khalhin-Gol River and seized a small bridgehead there.

As the result of the decisive counter-attack of the

Soviet and Mongolian troops and air forces, the

Japanese troops which had crossed on to the western

bank of the Khalhin-Gol River, were pushed back

to the east of the Khalhin-Gol River by the end of

July 5, suffering great losses."

THE PRESIDENT: Fut it to him piecemeal, Colonel.

BY THE PRESIDENT:

n Well, Witness, you have heard what was read to you. Do you accept it as true? If not, how far do you differ?

A In the first place, we did not use as large a force as that around in June -- around the 5th of June in our first operation. Concerning the other points, I don't know anything about the small details of the fighting.

BY COLONEL SMIRNOV:

Now, I'r. Witness, I will ask you to tell at what time in the Nomonhan area there were the most extensive battles.

SOVIET INTERPRETER: Correction: The most intensive battles.

•

11

10

9

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

A The most intensive battles were carried out after August 20, when the Soviet troops crossed the river in force, and this became the occasion for very severe fighting.

Thus, the order of the Imperial Headquarters of August 30, 1939, which NAKAJIMA took with him, was issued after these battle operations, was it not?

A Yes, that is so.

Thus, the order of the Imperial Headquarters of the 3rd of September was also after these battles?

A Yes.

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

24

25

That is after the division under KOMATSUBARA was almost completely annihilated?

A As you say.

Now, will you tell me: "asn't the special Japanese army created in connection with the incident in the Nomonhan area?

A (No answer)

O I will repeat the question. "asn't the special Japanese army created in connection with the Nomonhan area incident?

A As I recall, a new army was not formed.

Now will you answer me question as to when the Sixth Army was created.

A I had omitted the Sixth Army in my previous

A The most intensive battles were carried out after August 20, when the Soviet troops crossed the river in force, and this became the occasion for very severe fighting.

Thus, the order of the Imperial Headquarters of August 30, 1939, which NAKAJIMA took with him, was issued after these battle operations, was it not?

A Yes, that is so.

Thus, the order of the Imperial Headquarters of the 3rd of September was also after these battles?

A Yes.

That is after the division under KOMATSUBARA was almost completely annihilated?

A As you say.

O Now, will you tell me: "asn't the special Japanese army created in connection with the incident in the Nomonhan area?

A (No answer)

O I will repeat the question. "asn't the special Japanese army created in connection with the Nomonhan area incident?

A As I recall, a new army was not formed.

Now will you answer me question as to when the Sixth Army was created.

A I had omitted the Sixth Army in my previous

2

4

6

8

9

10 N

12

14

15

17

18

19 20

21

25

24

answer. It had been planned to create a new Sixth Army long before the outbreak of the Nomonhan incident. The actual organization of this army was carried out around the time of the Nomonhan incident. 4 The actual organization of this army was carried out 5 around the middle of this incident, that is to say, 6 in the middle of August. Wasn't this army under the command of General 8 OGISU, Rippu? 9 As you say. 10

OGISU, Rippu, on August 10, 1939?

A I don't remember when.

O Will you tell us approximately the time of the creation of this army?

A I believe it was around the beginning of August.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half-past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, a recess was taken until Wednesday, May 21, at 0930 hours.)

22

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

24